Helsinki Administrative Court

Vastaaja

Fluff, E.F.

[redacted]

MUUTOKSENHAUN KOHTEENA OLEVA PÄÄTÖS
Viranomainen: Ihmiskaupan uhrien auttamisjärjestelmä (Maahanmuuttovirasto) **Päätöksen päivämäärä**: 31.3.2026
Diaarinumero: 94/830/2026
Asiakasnumero: 2092313

Rejoinder to MIGRI statement dated 28.5.2026

A. Introduction and missing record of contact:

I submit this rejoinder in response to the statement of the Assistance System for Victims of Human Trafficking dated 28.5.2026. I maintain my appeal in full and request that the decision of 31.03.2026 be quashed. In the alterantive, the matter should be remitted for lawful reprocessing with a proper hearing

I submit this rejoinder in response to the statement of the Assistance System for Victims of Human Trafficking dated 28.5.2026.

I maintain my appeal in full and request that the decision of 31.3.2026 be quashed. At minimum, the matter should be returned to MIGRI for lawful reconsideration, including a proper hearing of me and the relevant witnesses, under conditions effectively supervised by the Administrative Court so as to prevent any repetition of the discriminatory neglect and procedural unfairness already shown on the record. However, given the prior failed attempts to seek assistance and the defects already demonstrated, I say that merely sending the matter back may not be sufficient, particularly where MIGRI's conduct has already caused severe and lasting material harm. In those circumstances, the Court should consider ordering my admission to the assistance system rather than remitting the matter into the same defective process.

The documentary record shows prior contact with the assistance system in November 2025, including the authority's own note that my first contact was then, and my contemporaneous email of 20.11.2025 stating that I had phoned on 19.11.2025 requesting assistance and had been treated as if I was not believed. This was not even the first attempt to access assistance, as I had attempted prior to this also.

Where is the record of my attempts to get assistance from MIGRI? Why did MIGRI destroy them?

B. Pro Se and Equality of Arms Note:

From the outset, I have to state unequivocally: this appeal and rejoinder are being written under duress and against my will. I do not represent myself by choice or belief that I am more competent

than a trained legal professional. I have no legal training. I am forced to do this to try and rescue my life from what essentially is permanent existential modern slavery.

I am disabled, homeless, unrepresented, without full translation, and without the medication or stability or hardware needed to respond properly. I have repeatedly told the Court this. That is a fair process failure, an equality of arms failure, and a failure of the EU charter of fundamental rights that raise serious questions of fair administrative process, effective remedy, equality of arms, and compliance with the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. Which in turn raise questions whether I have been completely othered and dehumanised by Finnish State Authority and the Judiciary.

In a situation where I am homeless, struggling to find housing, intermittently starving, without my medication, suffering severe chronic pain. Whatever I write in this document will never be a complete answer, it will never include all the evidence, because of my situation. The situation I have been forced into therefore means I will never be heard.

C. MIGRI's Failure and Refusal to Interview

Under the European human rights framework, specifically the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) and EU Directives 2011/36/EU and 2012/29/EU, interviewing an alleged victim of human trafficking is not a discretionary administrative choice—it is an absolute procedural mandate.

As established in the landmark ECtHR ruling *Rantsev v. Cyprus and Russia (2010)*, the moment an individual reports being a victim, a "credible suspicion" is established. This triggers a tripartite positive obligation on the State under Article 4 of the ECHR, specifically the procedural obligation to investigate. An investigation cannot be deemed "effective" if the primary source of evidence—the victim's own testimony—is bypassed or ignored. In *C.N. v. the United Kingdom (2012)*, the ECtHR explicitly struck down domestic investigations that failed to properly interview the alleged victim, ruling that dismissing a complaint based on a paper review without conducting a proper inquiry constitutes a violation of the procedural duty under Article 4.

Under the EU Victims' Rights Directive (2012/29/EU), authorities are mandated to conduct an individual assessment to identify specific protection needs, vulnerabilities, and the risk of secondary trauma. This assessment cannot be executed in the abstract by reading emails; it requires a structured, trauma-informed interview.

MIGRI's assertion that they could issue a negative credibility decision based on a "comprehensive documentary record" while explicitly refusing to conduct a formal victim interview is a direct violation of European Law, rendering their decision procedurally and fundamentally unlawful.

Jurisprudential Proof: The Necessity of Victim Interviews

To satisfy the procedural obligation to investigate under Article 4, authorities must conduct an effective investigation capable of identifying and punishing those responsible. An investigation cannot be deemed "effective" if the primary source of evidence—the victim's own testimony—is ignored or bypassed. The ECtHR has consistently struck down domestic investigations that failed to properly interview the alleged victim or relevant witnesses. Several pivotal judgments serve as proof that a failure to interview the victim constitutes a violation of the state's positive obligations:

- **C.N. v. the United Kingdom (2012)**: In this case involving domestic servitude, the UK police initially concluded there was "no evidence" to support exploitation, despite non-governmental organizations assessing the applicant as exhibiting multiple indicators of forced labor. The ECtHR heavily criticized the domestic authorities for failing to conduct a thorough investigation. The Court specifically noted that the failure to interview key actors violated the procedural duty under Article 4. The Court emphasized that a credible allegation demands immediate investigative steps, prominently including interviews, and cannot be dismissed as "inherently implausible" without proper inquiry.
- **V.C.L. and A.N. v. the United Kingdom (2021)**: This judgment addressed the prosecution of two Vietnamese minors discovered in cannabis factories. Despite clear indicators of trafficking, they were prosecuted and convicted without a prior trafficking assessment. The Court ruled that as soon as a credible suspicion of trafficking exists, the individuals must be assessed promptly by qualified persons1. The Court effectively established a "four-stage test" for processing victims: recognition of indicators, prompt assessment, reasoned prosecutorial decision, and judicial review. The prompt assessment inherently requires a detailed interview of the individual to ensure that the non-punishment principle is examined genuinely before any legal actions are taken against the victim.
- **B.B. v. Slovakia (2024)**: This recent, unanimous judgment further solidified the mandate for exhaustive victim interviews. The applicant, a vulnerable Roma woman, was trafficked to the UK for forced prostitution. Slovak authorities investigated the matter but charged the perpetrator with the lesser crime of pimping, leading to the victim's removal from a specialized assistance program10. The ECtHR found a violation of Article 4, citing significant flaws in the domestic proceedings, notably the failure to take all reasonable steps to collect evidence and clarify the circumstances through an understanding of the "subtle ways an individual can fall under the control of another" Identifying subtle psychological coercion—a hallmark of human trafficking—is impossible without a comprehensive, trauma-informed interview of the complainant. The Court awarded the applicant €26,000 in damages, underscoring the severity of the state's procedural failure.
- **Chowdury and Others v. Greece (2017)**: Involving 42 Bangladeshi nationals subjected to forced labor on strawberry farms, the Court found that the state failed in its obligations to prevent trafficking, protect victims, and conduct an effective investigation14. Effective investigations in mass exploitation scenarios require systematic interviewing protocols to establish the parameters of the coercion.

This Strasbourg mandate for a genuine victim interview finds its direct domestic corollary in Finland's own pre-trial investigation threshold, which imposes an equally binding and equally low bar for triggering the same investigative and interview obligations.

Weaponised Syytä Epäillä threshold, institutional abuse and neglect, police brutality:

Under Chapter 3, Section 3 of the *Esitutkintalaki*, an investigative authority must initiate a pre-trial investigation when, based on a report made to it or otherwise, there is "*syytä epäillä*" that a crime has been committed.

The Finnish Chancellor of Justice (*Oikeuskansleri*) has explicitly noted that the wording "syytä epäillä" is purposefully broad and designed to create a low threshold for initiating an investigation. When a person reports that they are a victim of human trafficking, this low threshold is unequivocally met. The legally prescribed protocol demands that the police promptly record a formal crime report into the police information system.

However, systemic issues have been identified in the application of this protocol. The Chancellor of Justice has heavily criticised law enforcement practices where human trafficking reports were merely recorded as preliminary inquiries rather than formal crime reports, leading to undue delays. Initiating the formal *esitutkinta* is not a mere bureaucratic technicality; it is critical because it triggers the victim's statutory rights. Notably, it activates the victim's right to a state-funded legal counsel specifically mandated for human trafficking victims under the Criminal Procedure Act. If the police delay the formal investigation by extending the preliminary inquiry phase, the victim is unjustly denied access to essential legal and support services.

To be explicitly clear to the Court: despite filing close to twenty criminal complaints, despite Finnish national Roosa Sainio filing a criminal complaint out of concern for my being human trafficked in 31.12.2025, despite at last count I believe four requests for executive assistance, despite providing evidence from Finnish domestic abuse organisations insisting I contact the police for assistance, and outside contact with global experts such as Kate Amber and Dr. Andrew Cicchetti.

Pasila Police have **never** interviewed me.

Pasila Police have **never** interviewed me.

I have only ever been interrogated with one-sided prejudice by Pasila Police, and this was subsequent to Anna Vuorivirta's fraudulent and retaliatory criminal complaints made after I began filing valid criminal theft and financial fraud complaints.

Pasila Police have **never** interviewed me. MIGRI have never interviewed me.

Detective Katariina Rinne informed me "abuse is subjective".

On 12.02.2026 in retaliation to another valid criminal theft complaint, after Vuorivirta had filed a false report to police falsely claiming I had threatened her, lying that she was not my ex-partner, but only my landlord. Informing authorities and medical staff wholly false defamation of me being a violent cop attacking arsonist criminal. The building in which I was supposed to have a secondary lease with Vuorivirta's company Alegria Psychology Oy, was evacuated, and I was subjected to fully militarised police raid, abused, and taken to Hartmann hospital. At the time of the Police raid, I had five or six police complaints and requests for executive assistance from Pasila Police.

I refer to **Exhibit-K-C-4 Full Malicious Wellness Check 358295475694_2024_02_12_19_37_01_[0]** – the officer in question is clearly ignoring me and

keeping me on the line while they evacuate the building. I am terrified, repeating the situation clearly and being ignored. It is a horrific audio to have.

At Hartmann hospital, I was told that "my belief that Vuorivirta was my ex-partner was psychosis". To prove Vuorivirta was my ex-partner, I had to show Dr. Andreas Netti SMS and WhatsApp messages of Vuorivirta vigorously describing performing oral sex on me as well as abusive messages from her. After Dr. Andreas Netti mentioned personal and professional acquaintance with Vuorivirta I began recording audio of the interaction.

Upon showing this evidence I was immediately released from Hartmann Hospital. The police attending informed me that Vuorivirta's false reporting to police "was very serious" and "you need to report this to the police".

I subsequent requested my medical records to discover Dr. Andreas Netti had erased the entire interaction from my medical records, completely erased Anna Vuorivirta's name, and written wholly false defamation into my medical records about my being a cop attacking arsonist in Ireland. These events never happened. They are evidenced as being wholly false lies by my 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal from the Dublin Circuit Criminal Court by Justice Melanie Greally.

Via my medical records, I discovered Vuorivirta had breached my medical privacy. In addition to this, Vuorivirta had been BCCing me correspondence she was having with Pasila Police, which also evidenced that Vuorivirta was breaching my medical privacy.

I did as advised by police, and I filed another criminal complaint. Detective Anssi Enqvist decided the Irish Judgment was fake, and that I am lying. Stated Vuorivirta's lying about not being my ex-partner and my Irish cases, and my being a criminal was legal, and ordered Vuorivirta to breach my medical further by ordering her to contact my treating psychiatrist Dr. Veli-Matti Kaupinmaki. Dr. Veli-Matti Kaupinmaki states he did not respond to Vuorivirta.

Vuorivirta reported to Detective Anssi Enqvist that Dr. Veli-Matti Kaupinmaki replied to her.

Due to this I filed multiple Administrative complaints against Anssi Enqvist, and I filed civil proceedings against him related to his statements which breached the treaty of the functioning of the EU Article 82 and 81 respectively in statements that Vuorivirta's lies about me being a cop attacking criminal arsonist in Ireland were true and no defamation had happened. Enqvist has maintained his position that my 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal is fake.

I refer to one of the Administrative complaints:
Exhibit-K-C-5 Administrative Complaint Polis Anssi Enqvist.pdf

and I refer to the Court filing against Anssi Enqvist that resulted in an error of Law judgment that breaks the Treaty of the Functioning of the EU Article 82 & 81 by refusing to recognise the Irish Criminal Court Judgment and Irish Personal Injuries Assessment Board proceedings and High Court Filings:

Exhibit-K-C-6 EF Fluff vs Anssi Enqvist HELDC.pdf

I was subsequently subjected to obstructed violence by Pasila Police who attempted to prevent me responding to the Court in the Enqvist case. My laptop was vandalised, and digital items were stolen by Pasila Police for Vuorivirta and Vuorivirta was given illegal access to all of my private data.

Despite having my B Certificate that clearly outlines PTSD and C-PTSD from Police brutality. I have never been interviewed by any authority despite desperate begging for help. I have only been subjected to hostile, prejudiced and violent interrogations and detention for Anna Vuorivirta. Pasila Police have refused to record these interrogations, refused trauma support, refused in person support.

This is no longer a passive failure to investigate; it is the kind of sustained institutional obstruction that the tripartite obligation under Article 4 ECHR exists to prevent. Finland's own domestic protocol, the Ihkaohje governing MIGRI's handling of suspected trafficking victims, itself acknowledges that trafficking indicators are frequently subtle and that victims may not self-identify, misplace loyalty toward their traffickers, or present fragmented and inconsistent accounts due to trauma. MIGRI's refusal to conduct the oral hearing its own guidelines identify as indispensable in precisely these circumstances is not a neutral administrative choice. It is the specific procedural failure that both Strasbourg jurisprudence and Finland's own institutional safeguards were designed to prevent.

Instead I was denied support and subjected to police raid after police raid, torture, and attempted murder via defamation to prisoners in Vantaa prison during arbitrary detention.

Breaches of Finnish Administrative Law:

The European mandate for interview and right to be heard is fully integrated into Finnish domestic law. Section 34(1) of the Finnish Administrative Procedure Act (Hallintolaki 434/2003) explicitly guarantees the right to be heard before a matter is decided. While Section 34(2) provides narrow exceptions where a matter may be decided without hearing a party, none of these exceptions apply to a complex credibility assessment involving human trafficking, coercive control, and severe trauma medically evidenced and recognised via Finnish B Certificates.

The Finnish Supreme Administrative Court (Korkein hallinto-oikeus) has consistently ruled that the right to be heard is the cornerstone of administrative legal protection. Under Section 6 of the Administrative Procedure Act, an authority must treat customers equally and act impartially. By relying exclusively on a paper review to make a negative credibility assessment against a disabled, homeless applicant—and explicitly stating they saw "no need for further evidence" from an interview—MIGRI failed its inquisitorial duty and breached both the Finnish Administrative Procedure Act, the Finnish Constitution, the Social Welfare Act, the Disability Act, and European Law.

D. A note on language and interpretation

The fact that I have to argue what is essentially the most important Judicial decision of my life whilst being refused a full official translation of the grounding Judgment by MIGRI. In a matter related to a human trafficking complaint I am supposed to get language assistance. Refusing to translate the decision is deliberately hostile and a failure of equality of arms. It is bad enough I am being forced to argue for my life and safety homeless, destitute, without medication, in debilitating chronic pain, intermittently starving.

E. MIGRI Submitted Evidentiary Bundle:

Keeping in mind my extensive B Certificate, for which prior historical B Certificates are available to the Court. To avoid unmediated ADHD and severe trauma repetition and save the Court that, after review of what I have. I do not believe MIGRI read the archive of evidence it has submitted to the Administrative Court. These documents contradict support MIGRI's decision not to extend me protection and an interview. They directly contradict Vuorivirta and Hanna Riivari's statements to Pasila Police. They directly contradict Vuorivirta and Hanna Riivari's statements in the Alegria Psychology Oy eviction proceedings W24/41272, and false statements to the Court for restraining orders in R24/5229 and R25/888. Details change and disappear, and false reporting to police, false reporting to authorities, and perjury are heavily indicated.

Each of the 37 pieces of evidence are items I have been obstructed or blocked from submitting as evidence at various stages. In the case of the 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal from the Dublin Circuit Court by Justice of the Irish High Court Justice Melanie Greally, whilst Hanna Riivari and Anna Vuorivirta were allowed and encouraged to make claims that it is false and faked. The judgment as a submission has been routinely refused and blocked. Similarly the evidence of the existence of [redacted].

Corresponding the evidence with what correspondence from me that MIGRI did submit and subsequently used as reason to close the human trafficking complaint, which it mysteriously did just in time for the Helsinki District Court to issue a judgment that again is contradicted by the 37 pieces of evidence MIGRI has submitted to the Administrative Court. Another glaring omission is presented: no one asks how it began. There is no explanation as to how I came to be renting from Anna Vuorivirta and Marieke Saher's company Alegria Psychology Oy. It is just [Scene Missing] without explanation or query and answer as to how the control abuse human trafficking situation I am repeatedly self-reporting arose or began. It is difficult to see how that functions, as again the 37 pieces of evidence submitted now contradict judgments, police statements and reports.

It reads like contempt. That MIGRI does not view me as a vulnerable disabled human being. That MIGRI does not have any respect for the Administrative Court, and that MIGRI does not believe the Administrative Court will review the material, and even if the Court does, it will disregard it and find in support of MIGRI's decision to break EU and Finnish Law. That is incredibly horrific for a victim struggling to get free and get assistance.

Without medication, faced with the snide submission to the Court of evidence I have been blocked from submitting to the Helsinki District Court, that has been refused as fake, refused by Pasila Police. Refused again by the Helsinki District Court. This document becomes an overlong ADHD loop as I need to go through the 37 pieces. Which makes this a broken document, and this entire process a final act of Judicial abuse before I commit self-emancipate or commit suicide to escape a perpetual prison of Anna Vuorivirta's fraud, theft, and lies. This is a broken document, in a broken administrative procedure.

To be clear: MIGRI as a State Authority has entered items into the evidentiary record that either via Pasila Police Violence or other obstructions evidenced by phone recording and email, I have thus far been prevented from doing. Evidence that acts exculpatory to Vuorivirta and Riivari's claims. Evidence that shows their statements to Pasila Police are false reporting and defamation. Evidence that shows the grounding submission for restraining order was a malicious perjury of the Court.

Perhaps if MIGRI had not relied solely on asking my abuser if she was criminally abusing me, and had asked me for context and explanation on the items I was able to submit. Possibly by way of a legally mandated interview. This might not have happened. What has happened is MIGRI has documents in disarray with little to no context bar Vuorivirta saying "Fluff didn't understand. Fluff just walked into a door" and whatever other cliché things abusers say when they have to evade the Authorities.

Why would I be fighting for my belongings and freedom for so long? Why do I have three years of contact with domestic abuse organisations. Why is Vuorivirta's abuse recorded in my B Certificate?

1. Hakeutuminen asiakkaaksi 19.3.2026 *(Application to become a client, 19 March 2026)*

The evidentiary record of my application to become a client is a falsification of public record. I filled out the MIGRI request for assistance, I phoned far earlier than March 2026. I cannot immediately source earlier requests for assistance as Vuorivirta currently has control of that evidence. than provided below.

The following evidence proves that I was already desperately seeking assistance in November 2025, directly contradicting MIGRI's timeline:

a) Exhibit-K-C-1 19.11.2025 Rejoinder Applicant email to MIGRI re phone call 19112025 assistance

b) Exhibit-K-C-2 19.11.2025 Rejoinder Applicant phone request to migri human trafficking phone_20251119-133618_00358295463177

c) Exhibit-K-C-3 19.11.2025 Rejoinder Applicant phone request to migri human trafficking 2 phone_20251119-140852_00358295463177

I was not interviewed. MIGRI appear to have erased record of my contact because in March 2026, MIGRI argued in open email with me that I had never contacted MIGRI before March 2026.

This constitutes a falsification of the public record and perjury. By erasing my prior requests for protection and arguing in March 2026 that no previous contact occurred, MIGRI actively suppressed evidence of my ongoing vulnerability and repeated attempts to seek help. This suppression invalidates their entire procedural timeline and renders their refusal of assistance legally void and MIGRI's responses to the Court perjury. I believe at this point the Court is obliged to refer this matter for criminal investigation. This is serious misconduct and negligence by a State authority that has caused a vulnerable, disabled person and torture survivor severe material harm.

Exhibit-K-C-1 19.11.2025 Rejoinder Applicant email to MIGRI re phone call 19112025 assistance:

This email evidences that I was already struggling with a hostile, dismissive authority upon my first contact in November 2025. As the email contemporaneous to my phone call shows, the MIGRI representative did not believe me, subjected me to victim-blaming, and forced me to defend my request for assistance against outright hostility. This establishes a documented pattern of institutional bias and discriminatory neglect. It proves that the March 2026 process was not an objective review.

2. Sähköpostit 20.3.–25.3.2026 *(Emails, 20–25 March 2026)*

MIGRI uses these emails to falsely claim they possessed an "exceptionally comprehensive documentary record," thereby excusing their refusal to conduct an interview. This is a fundamental violation of administrative law and inquisitorial duty. These emails contain raw, deeply distressing reports of complex fraud, coercive control, the confiscation of my mobility aids and medication, and police retaliation.

I was in constant contact with Catherine Kenny of the ICPO – Irish Council of Prisoners Overseas during this period. Ms. Kenny has a previous history working with refugees and asylum seekers. Ms. Kenny reviewed the correspondence and stated it was not comprehensive, it lacked explanation as to how the situation with Vuorivirta began, which was understandable given the stress I was under and distress I was in. Ms. Kenny told me I needed to explain better how things began and how the employment and tenancy happened and how events led up to that as the detail of those matters was glaringly missing from the evidentiary record. Ms. Kenny even contacted MIGRI and requested that they interview me. These emails are not an "exceptionally comprehensive documentary record".

Reading the panic filled and desperate emails of an unrepresented, disabled person operating under duress without medication does not constitute an objective credibility assessment or a fair hearing. A voluminous paper trail of trauma is exactly the reason an oral interview and direct dialogue are legally mandated, not the excuse to cancel them. Using my unmedicated distress signals as a pretext to deny me a proper hearing is a direct violation of Section 34 of the Administrative Procedure Act and my right to good administration under the EU Charter. It is also cruel and unusual abuse.

The evidentiary record of my seeking help from Vuorivirta's abuse dates back into 2023. There are SMS and phone recordings of me begging people for assistance. By December 2023 and January 2024 I am again evidenced seeking expert help and help from authorities, attending counselling for a male victims of domestic violence at Miessakit. Why was that evidence not requested or ignored by MIGRI?

3. Tiedustelu maassa olosta 26.3.2026 *(Inquiry about whether the applicant was in the country, 26 March 2026)*

MIGRI attempts to frame this log entry as a routine administrative inquiry about my location. It was not. At this exact time, I was actively fleeing the threat of refoulement to torture. On 19 March 2026, I sent MIGRI photographic evidence of myself on a plane, desperately seeking safety in Paris and assistance from human rights organisations. A situation that is ongoing.

MIGRI's own records—including the PIAB documents and my OHCHR Arbitrary Detention complaint, which MIGRI itself submitted to the Court as part of its evidentiary record to justify denying me protection and refusing an interview—prove that I was a medically recognised torture survivor under the active threat of murder and torture if returned to Ireland.

By this date, my passport and travel identity documents had been stolen following an illegal arrest and false imprisonment by a Vantaa Prison Guard. MIGRI claimed they did not know where my passport was and that it was "lost", while simultaneously taunting me with the threat of deportation to Ireland. Ireland is not my country of origin, and the travel record shows I arrived from the Netherlands.

No laws were followed. No protocol.

By their own log, MIGRI knew on 26 March that I was stripped of my passport, illegally evicted, and fleeing for my life. Using my failure to participate in a high-pressure, unaccommodated phone call four days later as the excuse to reject my application is not administrative procedure. It is the deliberate punishment of a victim, in severe breach of the EU Anti-Trafficking Directive and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights

4. Sähköpostit 27.3.2026–30.3.2026 (Emails, 27–30 March 2026)

MIGRI cites this block of emails to create the illusion of an ongoing, productive administrative dialogue. The reality, clearly visible in the emails themselves, is that this was the frantic correspondence of a homeless, displaced disabled victim pleading for their life while suffering from severe physical deterioration and psychological distress due to severe chronic stress.

During this specific window, I informed MIGRI of acute medical vulnerability: I had lost a third of my body weight during a hunger strike while arbitrarily detained in Vantaa Prison. I was explicitly detailing the theft of my passport by a prison guard, the complex overlap of my abuser's coercive control, and the immediate threat of refoulement to police torture in Ireland. I had been released from arbitrary detention, unconditionally, a prison guard had illegally arrested me and threw me into a cell for MIGRI. That's wrongful arrest and false imprisonment. Then I had ended up in unsafe living conditions that resulted in a concussion. All before having to flee refoulement deportation to torture. Where I ended up homeless, briefly living in an airport.

These emails do not show an applicant refusing to cooperate. They show a highly traumatised person desperately trying to communicate complex, cross-border legal facts without a lawyer, while homeless, destitute, in PTSD dysregulation, all without medication.

Attempts to DARVO these to evidence why I should not be protected are incoherent and read as if MIGRI has no respect for the court of Administrative Appeal. MIGRI read these explicit warnings of torture and severe medical deterioration, and responded by issuing an impossible, same-day ultimatum for a phone interview on 30 March. Using these desperate emails as an excuse to deny protection, rather than as proof of the urgent need for an accommodated human trafficking assessment, violates the Victims' Rights Directive and the Finnish Administrative Procedure Act.

5. Hakemuksen liite Pasila Detect Juho 10012026(Application attachment: Pasila Detective Juho, 10 January 2026)

I was trying to show the fact I was being denied a lawyer and trauma support and the criminal complaints which I should have been given by law. However in my distress submitted an incomplete email record, which only shows the denial of criminal complaints. Which as I was being forced to represent myself was a denial of rights of access and equality of arms per Law regarding right to access the criminal complaints.

6. Hakemuksen liite Ann Vuorivirta CO Human Trafficking letter ***(Application attachment: Ann Vuorivirta coercive-control / human trafficking letter)***

That is a letter-email from me to Anna Vuorivirta pleading for her to including but not limited to let me go, and return my belongings. MIGRI has submitted this piece of evidence as part basis for

MIGRI not interviewing me. Using a written, self-reported letter detailing severe exploitation as a justification to deny a formal oral interview represents a profound contradiction of both European human rights law and Finnish administrative protocols. To be clear, via the lens of human trafficking and modern slavery, that letter-email contains self-reported descriptions of:

- Domestic Servitude and Exploitation,
- Surveillance and Monitoring,
- Withholding of Documents and Possessions,
- Financial Abuse and Administrative Sabotage,
- Abuse of a Position of Vulnerability,
- Isolation and Discrediting to Authorities,
- Debt Bondage,
- Incited harassment and assault,
- No right to intimate partner,
- No right to choose where I live,
- No right to proper medical treatment.

MIGRI using this letter as part of their evidence to say I should not be interviewed, that I am not vulnerable, that no abuse was happening is an inversion of the core legal purpose of victim identification. It is MIGRI using my identifying my exploitation deny me the primary legal protection mechanism—the right to a safe, trauma-informed, and formal interview.

7. Liite 7. Hakemuksen lite Arbitrary Detention EF Fluff.pdf,
Liite 8. Hakemuksen lite Arbitrary Detention EF Fluff (2).pdf,
Lite 10. Hakemuksen lite OCHR-asiakirjoja.pdf

The above three items have been combined to one section.
Liite-7 and Liite-8 are identical documents — the same December 2025 OHCHR arbitrary detention complaint duplicated under two filenames. MIGRI did not read the evidentiary record.

These are OHCHR United Nation complaints. There are now four complaints, including two by other foreign nationals. Three more are being finalised. By August, time allowing there will be ten United Nations human rights complaints by myself, and eight other foreign nationals.

I now submit two further OHCHR complaints as new evidence for the rejoinder::

- **Exhibit-K-C-7 ohchr aine z6pmezuy.pdf**
- **Exhibit-K-C-8 fq2j4qex.pdf charlie keegan ohche.pdf**

Exhibit-K-C-7, filed by Áine Kazeem on 8 January 2026, and Exhibit-K-C-8, filed by Charlie Keegan on 26 March 2026—five days before MIGRI's decision issued. Both Kazeem and Keegan reviewed my primary evidence directly—audio recordings, documents, and correspondence—and spoke with me before submitting their own complaints. Their submissions are not transcriptions of

my account. Each independently assessed the evidence and formed their own conclusions: Keegan's complaint reflects his own direct experience of believing I might be dead during the January detention, prompting Roosa Sainio to contact the city morgue, and his own review establishing that Sainio's trafficking complaint was closed without proper engagement and that Sainio herself was denied her right to be informed of that closure.

These are witnesses. They should also have been interviewed. The contents of the United Nations complaints is corroborated by my Finnish B Certificate.

8. Liite 9. Hakemuksen liite Exhibit-K-C-35 24.04.2024 Dead to me no contact Evidence refutes false statements

MIGRI's own decision records Vuorivirta's account to police and the courts as the exact reverse of what happened. MIGRI writes: "Vuorivirta on kertonut poliisille ja viranomaisille päinvastaista — että se olikin hän, joka halusi erota sinusta ja esti kaiken kontaktin välillänne" (Vuorivirta told police and authorities the opposite — that it was she who wanted to end things with me and who blocked all contact between us). MIGRI also recorded that in the tenancy dispute, Vuorivirta and her lawyer argued I was "pakkomielteinen Vuorivirtaa kohtaan" (obsessed with Vuorivirta), rather than the reverse.

MIGRI fails to interview me but asks my abuser to clarify my statements despite that same abuser's account to the Helsinki District Court being directly contradicted by dated, contemporaneous evidence. After I went no contact with Vuorivirta, I attempted to move on with my life, first beginning a relationship with Niina. That was short lived as Vuorivirta's abuse was "too dark" and she "didn't think she's going to let you go". The eviction Court under Judge Mirkko Pennanen was informed of this, they decided to continue to believe Vuorivirta. Vuorivirta was very aware I had a new partner as she had made it explicitly clear she was monitoring me via my neighbour. MIGRI did not ask to interview Niina despite being informed of their existence.

I refer to: **Exhibit-K-C-9 22.06.2024 WhatsApp Evidence of 2024 relationships refutes false statements to the Court in R24-5229.pdf**

The relationship evidenced in those messages predates Vuorivirta and Riivari's fraudulent filing for a restraining order.

However this situation and MIGRI becomes exponentially worse, and essentially MIGRI becomes directly complicit to the denial of having a serious long term intimate partner when it comes to [redacted]

- **Exhibit-K-C-10 25.12.2024 Proof of a committed relationship October 2024 onward destroyed by the proceedings and Applicant.pdf**
- **Exhibit-K-C-11 Committed relationship evidence 20250403_010118.pdf**
- **Exhibit-K-C-12 Committed relationship evidence VID_2025 0814_031138.mp4**
- **E Exhibit-K-C-13 Committed relationship evidence 20250221_221819.pdf**

I have left it at simple images and video as evidencing my long term and committed relationship with [redacted] whom I had asked to marry me and I was briefly engaged to. I can if the Court

wishes provide text logs, sex chat logs, phone recordings discussions of wedding rings. [redacted] was herself a survivor of domestic abuse and InterPersonal Violence, and the Court, specifically Judge Mirko Pennanen's insistence that she did not exist, and that I was actually romantically obsessed with Anna Vuorivirta did immense psychological and emotional damage to [redacted]. The chronic stress of ten months of trying to help me escape from Anna Vuorivirta's fraud and human trafficking abuse culminated in a near fatal overdose by [redacted] and the collapse of our relationship.

Besides the exculpatory evidentiary visual record there exists the following submission to the Helsinki District Court

Exhibit-K-C-11 30.08.2025 W24 41272 EF Fluff 300825 Judge Mirko response two sheep copy.pdf

The above filed response to the Helsinki District Court and Judge Mirko Pennanen directly addresses the tenancy contract breach, subsequent abusive eviction and matters with KELA.

However, I need to ask a direct question of Terhi Tafari, Ylitarkastaja and team leader of the Assistance System for Victims of Human Trafficking, because it is her signature on the decision that closed my case. Did you read the file you signed? Because [redacted] is not a footnote buried in an annex you could plausibly have missed. She is named throughout my submissions, threaded through my correspondence, present in the timeline I gave you in March 2026. She exists in text, in image, in video, in the account I gave your officers directly. And yet the decision you signed treats Anna Vuorivirta's insistence that [redacted] does not exist as more credible than my own documented life — without you ever once picking up the phone to ask [redacted] herself, and without you ever once asking me.

On 30 August 2025, I sat down and wrote to Judge Mirko Pennanen, who had spent the better part of a year treating the same fiction — that [redacted] did not exist, that I was instead obsessively fixated on Vuorivirta — as fact. I told him what I am telling you now: that I watched the woman I had asked to marry me, a survivor of domestic abuse in her own right, take activated charcoal and NAC and vomit for six hours because of overdose of prescription medication she took because "watching these people slowly destroy you is damaging me," and the horrific chronic stress of the situation. I told him I sat by her while she turned yellow and I begged anything that would listen for it to pass, the air, the moon, anything.

I do not believe Ms. Tafari approached this case with the competence required to assess abuse allegations where the person reporting was assigned male at birth. Her decision reflects a pattern I have encountered before in Finnish institutional handling of my case: an apparent difficulty separating an assessor's own assumptions about gender and victimhood from the facts actually in front of them. Whatever the cause, the result in my case was a decision that took Anna Vuorivirta's account at face value and mine as inherently suspect, without ever testing either through an interview.

The morning after [redacted]'s overdose, I had to deal with the Court again. A Court demanding she did not exist, and that I was romantically obsessed with Vuorivirta. What I submitted to Judge Mirko Pennanen on 30 August 2025. The fury, the descriptions in that

document, are not those of a person who is romantically obsessed with Anna Vuorivirta. They are of someone who has lost the be all and end all of their life. A miracle and gift from forgotten gods. Stolen by one woman's incoherent narcissistic jealousy. Which she has now systematically lied about across Finnish Authorities to try and invent a whole other false reality of reversed romantic obsession, because psychologically she could not handle I had tried to escape, and she had to do everything to destroy that. And that while I was trying to escape and get help, I met the kindest most beautiful-hearted person I have met, and fell hopelessly in love.

That document was written carefully. I wrote it as a Brechtian three-penny opera.

It is the pure unbridled and very real and currently still present fury of a person who has lost their life partner and is completely inconsolable, and has only unending valid legal vengeance to excise their agonies. I will pursue defamation or other legal remedy against anyone, institution or individual, who repeats the claim that [redacted] did not exist and that we did not have a relationship, or any version of Vuorivirta's narcissistic poison-lies.

"Expensive couple's therapy"

How dare you all let that abuser jealously try and rewrite my life, and past, and love, and not have the emotional intelligence and legal protocol intelligence to interview me or the other named parties. That's the worst part of this, a group of apparently independent adults are beating up a disabled person existentially because a Finnish woman was broken up with for being abusive and controlling. That's some low grade stuff.

No living person spends the time crafting a Court submission like "Two sheep and double it" to a sitting Judge of the District Court about a fake, non-existent partner. And if any member of the Court genuinely thinks so, then they are not fit to be a member of the Court.

What has been done to me is unanswerable. Whatever I do in legal response, however it long it takes. Is completely understandable. For thousands of years humans have written about the type of vengeance I have to get to make peace with the destruction brought to me, but not just the destruction. The attempts to force me to live in this horrible abusive woman's jealous narcissistic malicious delusion and alternative reality. When the truth is, I was, focused on, just getting free, getting [redacted] her little house, her two sheep. And maybe, maybe if it all worked out, we'd have a daughter. Maybe we'd just focus on the two sheep, the little house, and the cheese making.

Instead, I have to spend hours arguing that [redacted] existed. At the same time as trying to protect her from the Court and Vuorivirta.

There is cliché about jealousy and an ex-partner moving on with their life here, but is so poisoned as to be a cancer.

I can only refer to this short video about reactive abuse and psychological manipulation by abusers.

Exhibit-K-14- Emotional and Psychological abuse reactive abuse video

I should have been interview. [redacted] should have been interviewed.

9. Liite 11. Hakemuksen liite Anna Vuorivirta December 14th

This is image is my pleading with Vuorivirta to let me go, it references her demands to control and obstruct my legal affairs. It is literally me trying to barter an Irish property worth €450,000. To be allowed to leave peacefully, on December 14th 2023. MIGRI states it can find no evidence of coercive control abuse. MIGRI does not even bother to try discern context of this exchange, or have the details explained. MIGRI should have interviewed me. Rather it asked in a discriminatory cliché similar to asking my abuser how I got my black eye and was told I walked into a door.

And this abuse, denial of rights, and denial of personhood by MIGRI that the Administrative Court has, in its correspondence, taken ownership of to amplify.

10. Lite 12. Hakemuksen lite 4e Dublin Circuit Court Attested Judgement 1.pdf

Lite 12a. Hakemuksen lite 4e Dublin Circuit Court Attested Judgement 2.pdf

Lite 16. Hakemuksen lite Dublin_Circuit_Court_Judgement etc.pdf

These items are the same and linked. MIGRI has submitted as evidence a Dublin Circuit Criminal Court 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal that I have spent three years trying to get the Helsinki District Court and Pasila Police to recognise, in what, given the opposing parties repeat statements that they are false The judgment explicitly marks me as a "vulnerable" person, the subject of an investigation wholly lacking evidence and references serious breaches of Irish Law. These are official Court documents and attested sealed Court judgment that corroborates my statements to MIGRI and evidences perjury by Vuorivirta and Riivari.

The fact that official Irish Court documents that act as exculpatory to my version of events against are dismissed by MIGRI evidences what can only be described as complicit intent to assist Vuorivirta in her abuse.

Attached to the written Court Judgment is PIAB authorisations for me to take civil proceedings against the Irish Government and Police. The documents, Court filings, Court evidence, related to which Vuorivirta has stolen. MIGRI's failure to do the slightest bit of investigation and rather ignore me, treat me as a liar, fail to interview me, submit evidence that shows a systematic pattern of perjury and malicious fraud by Vuorivirta and Riivari against a vulnerable person. Then deny that person's vulnerable status, and act to amplify the DARVO is incoherently unprofessional and a breach of all connected laws.

I refer to **Exhibit-K-C-15 Irish Police Torture**. CCTV of me screaming as I am being sexually assaulted at gunpoint and tortured to spine damage as punishment for the the 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal Judgment.

10. Liite 13. Hakemuksen liite Vahvistus rikosilmoituksen vastaanotosta

Liite 14. Hakemuksen liite Roosa Police complaint

Liite 15. Hakemuksen liite Vuorivirta report

These documents are a confirmation of a filed assault case linked to Vuorivirta and her incitement of assault and harassment of me which is evidenced by video and audio.

A criminal complaint of human trafficking filed by an independent witness, Roosa-Emilia Sainio, on 31 December 2025.

And a human trafficking complaint that I was told to make by MIGRI Police.
I asked to speak with one of the MIGRI officers about my situation. He stood, listened, and looked very grave. He then told me it was urgent and important that after I was released I was to **immediately** attend Pasila police station to file a criminal complaint. I explained that I did not believe the police would listen to me. He said they had to. I explained that I had significant trauma and could only manage this if someone accompanied me, or else I would have to file it online. He repeated that it was urgent and important that I file.

This exchange took place while I was being processed for release from Joutseno Reception Centre, which operates Finland's National Assistance System for Victims of Human Trafficking. It is directly reflected in the resulting criminal complaint of 19th March 2026 — a complaint MIGRI's own decision does not reference, despite it having been filed **in part** at the express instruction of one of MIGRI's own officers, acting in his capacity at the very institution statutorily responsible for identifying victims of trafficking. It still took Social Worker Karoliina Kivimäki to plead with me to file a new police complaint on the 18th of March 2026

When any person be they a domestic abuse expert working for a Finnish Domestic Abuse Organisation such as Nollajlina or Monika or a MIGRI police officer speaks to me in person about the situation, listens to me. They grow very concerned for me and demand I report it to the police. Pasila Police have made it clear they do not believe I'm human, and prefer to abuse me. Everyone else however, believes I need police protection. MIGRI should have interviewed me.

11. Re: Liite 13. Hakemuksen liite Vahvistus rikosilmoituksen vastaanotosta

I refer to

- **Exhibit-K-C-17-A 09.03.2024 Terhi1 incited harassment 1 Anna's friend harassment me on 9th of March.pdf**
- **Exhibit-K-C-17-B 21.06.2024 Terhi 2 Incited Harassment Anna Friend Terhi.m4a**
- **Exhibit-K-C-17-C 29.12.2024 Terhi 3 Incited Harassment 3 Anna's friend Terhi again at the bar unprovoked.m4a**
- **Exhibit-K-C-17-D 04.05.2025 TERHI5 INCITED HARASSMENT ACTUAL VIDEO.mp4**

These are some of the incidents of incited harassment and assault and defamation. Vuorivirta waged a classic human trafficking war of isolation on me.

I refer to a two-part conversation with a horrified and emotional sounding Karoliina Kivimaki who also demanded I file a new police complaint. The entire conversation is Karoliina Kivimaki pleading with me to file a new police complaint.

Exhibit-K-C-18 Part 1 Karolina Kivimaki Social Worker (+358931083538) [2026-03-18 10-47-26] [Outgoing].m4a

E Exhibit-K-C-18 Part 2 Karolina Kivimaki Social Worker (+358931083538) [2026-03-18 11-01-01] [Outgoing].m4a

A credible allegation, backed by an independent witness and friend concerned for my safety, active criminal case numbers. This is a profound failure by MIGRI to meet its statutory duty to identify and protect a victim. Ignoring a formal human trafficking complaint filed by an independent witness, while simultaneously ignoring the criminal complaint an officer of the State explicitly instructed me to file, is not an administrative oversight. It is deliberate, institutional complicity in my continued abuse.

12. Liite 17. Hakemuksen liite B-lausuntoja

MIGRI's decision confirms, based on my psychiatrist's own statement, that Vuorivirta made a false report to Finnish authorities claiming I was psychotic and at acute risk of suicide, a report that led to a violent, forced police intervention at my home. Migri writes: "Hän kertoo, että ex-kumppanisi on kertonut viranomaisille Suomessa sinun olevan psykoottinen ja akuutissa itsemurhavaarassa, minkä vuoksi poliisi on tullut asuntoosi väkivalloin ja rikkonut oven... Psykiatrin mukaan Vuorivirran väitteet ovat olleet perättömiä" ["He states that your ex-partner has told the Finnish authorities that you were psychotic and in acute danger of suicide, for which reason the police came violently to your home and broke the door... According to the psychiatrist, Vuorivirta's claims were false"]

Elsewhere in the same decision, addressing whether Vuorivirta interfered with my access to justice, Migri concludes the opposite: "Saaduista selvityksistä ei käy ilmi, että Vuorivirta olisi pyrkinyt häiritsemään oikeudenhoitoasi. Päin vastoin, toimittamasi asiakirjan perusteella hän on pyrkinyt ohjaamaan viranomaisia olemaan yhteydessä suoraan sinuun ja ajanut oikeuksiasi" ["The information obtained does not indicate that Vuorivirta sought to interfere with your access to justice. On the contrary, based on the document you submitted, she has sought to direct authorities to be in contact with you directly and has advocated for your rights"]

MIGRI contradicts itself and objective reality. A false report to police that a person is psychotic and suicidal, made with the effect of causing a violent forced entry into that person's home, is not consistent with "advocating for your rights.". It is attempted serious harm or attempted false imprisonment. When that false report is attached to malicious and harmful defamation about a false criminal past where police are explicitly targeted for violence. That escalates and severely amplifies the attempted serious harm or false imprisonment. When the victim suffers medically document severe and debilitating **police brutality and police torture Post-Traumatic-Stress-Disorder and Complex-Post-Traumatic-Stress-Disorder and debilitating sanctuary trauma.** It is inarguably attempted murder. There is no other way to view it. Vuorivirta knew my reactions to police violence and police engagement were and are completely unpredictable. Either freeze, flight, or terrified shouting as a defence mechanism to prevent more torture and sexual assaults at gunpoint. Not violence, but Vuorivirta did not know that, she had not been back in my life long. However Vuorivirta had seen CCTV videos of me victim screaming as I was being tortured to spine damage whilst being sexually assaulted at gunpoint. She knew the extent of the brutality.

This is evidenced by contact post-12.02.2024 when Vuorivirta had bullied her way back into my life, but was obsessed with why I had not got hurt by the police, why I had not killed myself, how I had

escaped being imprisoned/sectioned by Hartmann. A failure of equality of arms means I do not know if I will have the software and hardware to pull those files from the archives.

The psychiatric statement MIGRI itself relies upon, from Dr Veli-Matti Kaupinmäki, confirms both that I showed no psychotic symptoms at any point and that he never discussed my case with Vuorivirta beyond a single unanswered email. MIGRI'S finding of "advocacy" is therefore not merely unsupported; it is contradicted by the evidentiary record MIGRI itself selected and quoted.

It is a documented act of interference with my safety, my liberty, and my standing before the very authorities MIGRI claims Vuorivirta was helping me engage with. To say otherwise is incoherence to a point where it has to be questioned for malicious bad faith. At the same time MIGRI is admitting Vuorivirta lied to the authorities… but never examines that… and simply loops back to old TV true crime shows [ACTION] [SCENE MISSING] [SCENE MISSING] [DRAMATISATION MAY NOT HAVE HAPPENED] "The abuser says they were looking out for the victim" . It is essentially, gibberish.

MIGRI then fails to analyse the obvious legal consequence or simply does not care: but a deliberate false police report itself constitutes criminal conduct and cannot be treated as neutral advocacy, and it certainly is not supportive advocacy. MIGRI trying to push that line for Vuorivirta is in questionable bad taste and resembles psychological manipulation of the type referred to in psychology pop culture as 'gaslighting'.

12-A A Sequence of Retaliation

I refer to **Exhibit-K-C-20 10.02.2024 SMS that led to 12.02.2024 Pasila Police Raid**
I evidence that the false report of psychosis was retaliatory, not protective, through the following sequence. On 10th February 2024, two days before the Pasila Police raid, Vuorivirta wrote to me directly stating she would "let the health services know my concern for your untreated mental health situation" in the same exchange where she was withholding documents I had already reported as stolen . This threat was made explicitly conditional on my conduct regarding the theft complaint, not on any clinical observation.

I refer to **Exhibit-K-C-21 Veli-Matti-Kapinmaki Poliisi Psychosis**

My psychiatrist, Dr Kaupinmäki, has confirmed in writing that no psychotic symptoms were ever present and that he had no communication with Vuorivirta regarding my care beyond one unanswered email.

I refer to **Exhibit-K-C-22 29.01.2024 Anna Vuorivirta - obstruction**

The obstruction context predates this incident: on 29 January 2024 I was already documenting Vuorivirta's refusal to return withheld legal and personal documents and her prior false police complaint.

I refer to **Exhibit-K-C-23 13.02.2024 Request for return of items and data**

By 13 February 2024 — after the raid — the same documents remained unreturned.
The sequence is therefore: theft complaint over withheld documents, explicit threat to weaponise a

mental health report, the report itself, forced police entry, and continued withholding of the documents that triggered the complaint in the first place.

12-B. Medical violence and defamation

I do not know how MIGRI considers the withholding of essential medical records, legal documents, and Court evidence for time sensitive Court proceedings as not obstruction and control. No one else has come to that conclusion. There was and is no way I could travel unimpeded while Vuorivirta withheld my documents, I would and do remain bound to Finland and her because of that theft. There was and is no way I could exercise the full rights of my home-dwelling in Ireland without the full title deeds to the property. There was and is no way I can access Justice without the items she has stolen. Trying to say otherwise has no basis in factual objective legal reality.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-24 21.01.2024 Gmail - Re: Surgery Delay and schedule for return of items

The obstruction was already causing direct medical harm before the theft complaint or the false report existed. On 21 January 2024, I told Vuorivirta I was scheduled for emergency surgery within one to two weeks and asked her for a cessation of abuse and to organise the return of the requested items before that date, with Helsinki Police officer Joonas Rönnberg and Helsinki City social worker [redacted] Drockila copied into the request. The authorities were therefore aware of both the withholding and its medical urgency three weeks before the raid.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-25 Cancelling Emergency Hand Surgery 1 of 4 January 24

There is one of the phone recordings I had with Hartmann when I was forced to cancel emergency hand surgery due to Vuorivirta's coercive control human trafficking abuse. Vuorivirta mocked me for asking for a cessation of hostilities and accused me of being a coward. There was no way I could heal multiple invasive hand surgeries while Vuorivirta was escalating abuse and control.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-26 30.01.2024 RE: Power of attorney - 38 Millers Hall

By 30 January 2024, I had formally revoked Vuorivirta's power of attorney and stated this in writing to the third parties she had been corresponding with in my name, including my Dublin property management company. I had privately revoked the limited powers of attorney to Vuorivirta, but she had refused to abide by it, and had begun defaming me to my property management company. The defamation of emotional abuse was my asking for my belongings and time sensitive legal documents back and mediation on financial matters and the tenancy. That is not emotional abuse. Thinking that is emotional abuse raises questions.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-27 07.02.2024 onward incomplete record of Appellant requesting Return of belongings

This is a continuous SMS record beginning 8 February 2024 and running for months, in which I repeatedly ask Vuorivirta to return my documents, writing, and belongings, and to stop obstructing my life. The withholding continued for months after the 12 February 2024 raid, across dozens of repeated requests, showing the obstruction as sustained conduct rather than an isolated dispute that the police intervention Vuorivirta triggered resolved. That is not advocacy. MIGRI attempting to claim such behaviour is advocacy is incoherent at best, bad faith at worst.

MIGRI and the Helsinki District Court's dehumanisation and demonisation of me in this regard is utterly repugnant to Law, and the contradiction and fraud of the matter is evidenced in Vuorivirta's actions.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-37 26.01.2024 Domestic Violence Unit Maria Private

That is me desperately seeking domestic assistance in January. That is part of the sequence of domestic abuse consultations that led the experts to tell me I was being sex trafficked.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C 38 12.02.2024 Seeking Assistance from Domestic Violence Org Monika

That is me seeking help the day of the first Pasila Police raid.

1. Why is there continuous record of my seeking assistance and support from domestic abuse organisations?

2. If anything Vuorivirta was saying was true: why not return my belongings?

3. If anything Vuorivirta was saying was true: why take full control of the last of everything from Ulosottolaitos abuse, medication, property deeds, legal evidence, laptops, creative and live performance work tools, clothes, private data? Why not just return everything?

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-36 Helsinki Mediation Centre March and May 2024

4. If anything Vuorivirta was saying why was mediation refused? And why did Vuorivirta set out to construct a false record that I had to try and rectify? Vuorivirta approached matters with construction of evidence to evade accusation consequences in mind, and were I medicated and my time not shattered with avoiding homelessness. I have the evidence of that.

Vuorivirta keeps me trapped via theft, legal obstruction, defamation, and homeless via debt bondage. Vuorivirta has jealously used surveillance by a neighbour and abusive Court proceedings to deny me an intimate partner. Vuorivirta has used triangulation and defamation to isolate me from friends and associates. There is no advocacy there is horrifically abusive ex-partner and human trafficking.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-28 08.02.2024 Re: Psychologist Anna Vuorivirta - follow-up repeat request re: coercive control

Two days before Vuorivirta's threat to report me to health services, I sent her an itemised 26-point list demanding the return of my documents, deeds, KELA records, legal correspondence, and phone contract, copied to Helsinki Police and to the domestic abuse organisation supporting me. I stated plainly that there was no legal reason for her to withhold my legal affairs or documents, and that her continued control over my phone contract amounted to surveillance. This demand, delivered in writing to the same authorities Vuorivirta has now later claimed to MIGRI she was helping me approach, is the immediate written predicate to her SMS threat two days later to weaponise a mental health report against me.

That is not advocacy, that is total life control, and total life obstruction. Just because Vuorivirta may have briefly and reluctantly performed some advocacy earlier in 2023 does not somehow erase the escalating control abuse she was and is still subjecting me to.

12-C. Vuorivirta's breaches of medical privacy, false imprisonment, medical defamation. Dr. Netti's fabrication and HUS's refusal to correct the record

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-29 Netti-rectification

Dr Andreas Netti's psychiatric emergency report from the night of the raid does not reflect the conversation I had with him. He recorded, based on the false information the Irish and Helsinki Police relayed to him that night, that I had been "soaked in petrol" for an extended period with no injury, and that I had "tried to set fire to my home in Ireland". Neither of these events happened. No human being can survive three and a half hours fully immersed in petrol, in a petrol-soaked environment, without burns, organ damage, or neurological injury, and I provided Netti with a Dublin Circuit Court judgment and toxicologist Dr. Jamie DeWitt's expert evidence establishing exactly that. I told Netti at the time that I had filed seven or eight police complaints and requests for executive assistance against Vuorivirta, that she had lied to police by claiming to be only my landlord rather than my ex-partner, and that I proved this to him directly using her own messages, at which point he told me I was going home. None of this is reflected in his report.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-30 DeWitt_Gasoline Toxicity

Refusal to correct the record to the adjudicated objective truth is trapping me in **ne bis in idem** forever. If I'm not telling the truth, why do I have that document?

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-31 27.09.2024 Rectification of report follow-up — Netti

I asked Netti directly why his report included a fabricated claim about petrol immersion, sourced from Irish police corruption, but omitted the parallel claim relayed by the same corrupt source that I had hidden weapons around my home for use against police. I received no coherent answer explaining why one fabrication was recorded and the other was not, which indicates selective inclusion rather than a neutral clinical account. Nor did I get a coherent answer as to why he had removed Vuorivirta from the Event Log.

Netti was in the hospital isolation room when I asked the police "I have one question. Why did you come in so hard. Why did you come in so fully militarised with ballistic shields, assault rifles, dogs. I'm a traumatised cripple on a stick. Why so hard?" The two Pasila police officers replied:

That Irish Police and Anna Vuorivirta have told them:

- I have a long history of violence against the police

- I have hidden armaments for use against police

- I hide knives around my apartment for use against the police

- I boobytrap apartments against the police

- I set petrol traps in my apartments to burn police.

And Netti records from Vuorivirta that I'm an arsonist who attacks cop and tried to burn an entire apartment block in Dublin. Essentially that I am a domestic terrorist—and I have struggling to fight that due to the incoherent refusal of a Finalised Irish Court Judgment.

That's not simple defamation. That's serious and dangerous defamation that could, can, and has caused me serious danger of permanent harm. That is the type of defamation that gets a person killed by a nervous police officer. Vuorivirta was very aware of the situation she was inserting defamation into.

Tas Wake, of the SANS Institute (Information Security), who MIGRI also should have interviewed, and still should interview, who attempted to mediate the return of my belongings January 2024, strongly advised me to file immediate Court proceedings against Vuorivirta prior to 12.02.2024, and much to my mistake, I did not, because I was worried about the effect it would have on Vuorivirta's two children. Never could I have thought that, that mistake of kindness, would leave me homeless in Paris two and a half years later.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-32 Formal demand for rectification — Netti.pdf

I formally demanded that HUS expunge Netti's report in full, on the basis that it constituted a falsification of public record. I set out, point by point, that Netti had acknowledged the abuse I disclosed, had referred multiple times to Vuorivirta's lie to police about her relationship to me, had told me the information would be included in a way that supported my case, and had physically reassured me I was going home once I proved Vuorivirta lied — none of which appears anywhere in his written report. I stated plainly that there was no suicide attempt and no arson, that these were outright fabrications, and I proposed the specific corrected text HUS should substitute for the existing record.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-33 HUS-Psychiatry-response-Fluff

HUS's response to this formal demand was not an independent review of the evidence I provided. Head Physician Pekka Jylhä stated he found "no significant factual errors" and saw "no grounds for rectification," and Administrative Chief Physician Eila Sailas's final response stated she had "nothing to add" to the statements of the four staff members below her. At no point does any HUS respondent address the toxicology evidence, the Dublin court judgment, or the audio recording I offered to provide, all of which directly contradict the petrol and arson claims in the record they refused to correct.

As a result of Anna Vuorivirta's lies, and her professional position, I have HUS senior staff denying adjudicated objective truth and reality. That's incoherent, and it places me at a point of constant danger and constant medical obstruction. A constant point in my interactions with Vuorivirta has been to retract these false statements. I repeatedly asked stating I would take no action if they were just retracted and expunged. Vuorivirta has refused. That's an existential level of control and ongoing harm.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-34 120224 extract netti 10minutes

I responded to HUS with a 10 minute extract of Netti audio to evidence that I was not lying. HUS refused to respond. At all points in this whilst terse, often incredibly distressed, sometimes harsh worded, I have been the reasonable party.

13. MIGRI Harm Incoherence

From what I can gather from machine translation, MIGRI's decision states the situation "has made my condition worse" ["Tilanne on vaikeuttanut vointiasi"], tying the false report and the raid's aftermath to a documented deterioration in my health. As MIGRI states I was already vulnerable. The denial of assistance and interview, and the interview of witnesses is completely incoherent.

MIGRI's own decision states "Tilanne on vaikeuttanut vointiasi" — that the situation has worsened my condition. This admission is fatal to MIGRI's procedural position, not supportive of it. MIGRI cannot simultaneously acknowledge, on the record, that my vulnerability was deteriorating, and use that same deterioration as a justification for declining to interview me. Under the standard already established in B.B. v. Slovakia (2024), where the Court found a violation of Article 4 precisely because the domestic authority failed to take reasonable steps to clarify the circumstances of a vulnerable applicant through direct engagement, a documented worsening of the applicant's condition increases the procedural obligation to interview, it does not diminish or excuse it. MIGRI's own acknowledgment of my deteriorating state should have triggered a more urgent, trauma-informed interview, not a paper-only credibility assessment conducted in my absence. To treat documented vulnerability as a reason to avoid direct engagement, rather than a reason to prioritise it, inverts the entire purpose of the procedural safeguard that Rantsev, C.N. v. the United Kingdom, and B.B. v. Slovakia were designed to establish. MIGRI's own words therefore do not excuse the absence of an interview; they are direct evidence that one was legally required.

13-A. W22/53025 – Pasanen Eviction Obstruction and Perjury

Outside of medical negligence, Irish Personal Injuries Assessment Board proceedings are time sensitive without exceptional appeal via the High Court. Between Helsinki District Court negligence and misconduct, Pasila Police negligence and misconduct, and Vuoruvirta's grounding abuse and fraud. I have been advised by Irish solicitors that Personal Injuries Assessment Board proceedings are subject to a strict limitation period — I understand this to be approximately two years to file, with a further period of approximately six months to serve proceedings once authorised — and that these time limits are not something PIAB or the courts extend lightly. Vuorivirta's continued withholding of my legal documents and Court submissions directly obstructs my ability to meet these deadlines. Every month that passes without the return of that material narrows, and may already have foreclosed, my ability to pursue that claim at all. And this is viewed as fine by the Helsinki District Court and MIGRI. That is a situation that is not compatible with living.

I spent the six-months I should have been reactivating filed Plenary Summons, and submitting the rest of them, being subjected to police raids by Anna Vuorivirta in response for rightfully demanding my legal documents, medical records, and Court evidence returned to me.

To have MIGRI try and state that this is not a coercive control human trafficking power and control abuse situation. Vuorivirta informed me she has the power of life and death over me and gets to decide when I die. However Vuorivirta sabotaging my access to Justice in my Irish PIAB proceedings, is, I stress again, a situation that is not compatible with living, and one I do not intend to live in. That is a form of forever legal existential bondage and modern slavery that once it is confirmed, I have no choice but to self-emancipate or commit suicide. I am trapped in a nightmare with a jealous narcissistic ex-partner and her corrupt lawyer rewriting sections of my life as and when it serves a purpose to cover up the abuse I have been and am being subjected to.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-38 SAMU KELA disability appeal statement EF FLUFF Corrected

The Pasanen eviction was not a normal eviction. It was a hate crime. Erkki and Erja Pasanen directly told Vuorivirta this and that they had filed false statements to the Court. Vuorivirta could have stopped everything there by being a Finnish National filing a police complaint. She did not. That is not normal. That indicates power and control abuse issues. More than that the Pasanens directly informed me they would use their contacts at KELA to disrupt my recovery plan. That happened, I have the phone recordings of being phoned by a KELA representative directly berating me and demanding I allow the Pasanens to steal the rent they were paid in advance.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-40 Anna Valo patient Extract

Vuorivirta coerce-forced her lawyer-patient into my life—they rapidly got abusive, were fixated on my transiting to trans-woman, advised me to break the law via lying to KELA and filing false medical papers, and discussed watching people die from suicide. That is not normal. There was no need for that.

I refer to Liite 26. Hakemuksen liite Nicolas Moore Enforcement Query EF Fluff 2

A breakdown of the financial perjury and error of Law is quite clearly laid out there. Vuorivirta hassled me about talking to the Pasanens, and I would agree if she recorded the calls. She bullied and harassed me about involvement in the Pasanen eviction and in the end I only agreed if she did it officially via Court support. Which she had to apply for. After she did, she talked about excited and turned on by being involved in a "criminal caper". Except it was not. And when she discovered it was not, the abuse escalated **again**.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-42 20.03.2023 Applicant abuse re landlord audio

The phone recordings of the Pasanens admitting hate crimes rapidly became items of abuse, power and control. This escalated into Vuorivirta partial destroying the evidentiary record. On the 19.06.2023, Vuorivirta took the stand in the Pasanen case and committed perjury when she was asked if she recorded the phone calls. She replied no. Vuorivirta told me "I was tired. I forgot." That was impossible. For months I begged Vuorivirta to correct this to be told "I'll get around to it" until finally "I do not see the need to do that."

The Administrative Court will have to either grant me additional time to provide the Court audio. Or request it from the Court W22/53025.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-42 07.03.2023 Applicant and Erkki Pasanen 01.mp3 and to Exhibit-K-C-43 07.03.2023 Applicant and Erkki Pasanen 02.mp3

The calls were clearly recorded. This perjury trapped me into fraudulent debt bondage, and left me with the only option that was not homelessness, to rent from Vuorivirta's company she shares with Marieke Saheri, Alegria Psychology Oy. Vuorivirta set about sabotaging and obstructing the appeal in W22/53025 demanding she had the say in it. Other methods of obstructing were lying to me about the translation of documents and meaning of things, leaving out critical sentences, which I only found out by accident after it was blurted by an Espoo lawyer who was refusing assistance anyway.

Once the appeal was obstructed by Vuorivirta, without extraordinary measures, I was completely hostage to the tenancy with Vuorivirta, otherwise I would be homeless, in the homeless shelter behind Kamppi. I had already been there, it is a horrific place filled with drug users and intravenous users, I could not go back.

Vuorivirta's obstruction of the W22/53025 appeal ensured that my only route away from homelessness ran through her own company, Alegria Psychology Oy, tying my housing to continued unpaid labour and dependency on her via fraudulent non-existent Norwegian Bank Loans and a growing dependency for other things such as food. That is the deliberate maintenance of dependency for exploitative purpose, which Vuorivirta would then turn around on me and berate me about. Rather than assist me in getting a lawyer, Vuorivirta left me forced into a situation where I had to struggle with representing myself in a language I don't speak. Often explaining my torture and trauma again and again. Keeping me trapped like that, I was a constant mess of stress, exhaustion, and panic and easier to manipulate.

"Come cook for my boys" became very difficult to avoid, even at times when I tried to say I was suffering too much from Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. It was not an isolated request but a recurring demand, repeated across weeks and months whenever Vuorivirta wanted labour from me regardless of my condition. I'd be coercively put into a position where I felt I had no choice but to cook, clean, run errands, or manage tasks for her and her sons. Often on days when I had already told her I was in PTSD crisis, physically unable to move, or too exhausted to function, with an impossible load of Court responses to write on my own. The request came in different forms depending on the day, but the underlying pattern was constant: my stated incapacity was treated as irrelevant, and I was talked around and even if I thought it would not be healthy for her sons to be around me in a particularly bad PTSD state. This was not a single quote or a one-off imposition. It was a sustained expectation that I would perform unpaid domestic labour for her household on demand, woven into the same period in which she controlled my housing, my access to KELA, and my ability to leave.

It has to be made clear the **relationship began on deception**: Vuorivirta told me she was divorced, she was not. I asked if she had professional specialisation in trauma, and if she did the power imbalance would be too great and we could not have a relationship, **nor could we even have a casual sexual relationship**. I did not find out until the middle of 2024 that Vuorivirta specialised in trauma to such a degree she could give talks on emotional regulation and trauma in adopted children. In some jurisdictions what Vuorivirta did to me is and was arguably *rape by deception.* I categorically would not have had a relationship with Vuorivirta had I known she specialised in trauma. I was too vulnerable and traumatised. I asked specifically because I was trying to keep myself safe from revictimisation and abuse.

During the W22/53025 appeal period Vuorivirta also escalated hot-cold psychological abuse throughout the period, attacking me about being tortured telling me I didn't understand my violent attempted rape, or the police torture, that I didn't understand my child abuse and child sex abuse, and began mimicking recordings she had heard of my abusive female parent at me.

13-B. Alegria Psychology Oy and Anna Vuorivirta: Employment fraud, the Abrahaminkatu 17C 53 Tenancy

I refer to Liite 21. Hakemuksen liite Employee Screenshot 2025-03-17 at 13.46.47

During W22/53025, I was struggling with evidence storage in Dublin and medical bills, and I had no properly functioning laptop. Vuorivirta and I agreed that she would take a loan from Norwegian Bank and I would pay it back via my disability welfare from KELA. However the terms kept changing.

Vuorivirta would take things to the very edge of my losing everything, then change the terms unilateral, removing items from the agreed loan contract. With pressing 'Final Notice' on bills, I'd be forced to accept. That is financial domestic violence and financial control.

The **Disability Rehabilitation Work Scheme** came out of those exact circumstances, Vuorivirta toyed and dangled the loan in front of me as I began being crushed by Final-Final Notices and Pasanen linked disruptions to my KELA. The day it was so supposed to be agreed, an incredibly aggressive Vuorivirta phoned me and told me "I wasn't going to get something for nothing" despite it being a loan that was going to be paid back, and that "The only way you get the loan is if you do work for me. You will build me an e-learning website." I had no choice but to agree, everything had run to the final-final eleventh hour and if I said no I would lose everything. But I made it clear the loan had to include funds for a laptop that I did not have the hardware sufficient to build an e-learning website and edit the audiovisual content she wanted to put online. I agreed and the Norwegian bank loan was back on.

However then abruptly it changed again and the laptop was removed from the loan. I did not have the tools to build the website to what Vuorivirta wanted. I did not have the hardware to make the videos to the quality demanded and needed. At the same time, I was trapped in the Pasanen appeal Vuorivirta was obstructing, and she would regularly berate me that I was not doing enough work for her or not getting work done. I don't see how I could with a broken 2014 macbook, but I would still get berated for uncompleted work.

The disability rehabilitation work scheme I agreed to, because I was adamant I did not want anything sent as "under the table payments" or anything that was illegal or could get me in trouble. Vuorivirta used the website to break this by sending invoices as the only way I could access the funds, trapping me in a construction of fraud that I did not know what to do about. I was aware she could flip it on me at any point and get me in trouble and it was a source of constant stress. I was told it was going to be disability rehabilitation work scheme put through and verified by KELA. Vuorivirta never did this. It was always "Next week". This trapped me in benefit fraud and tax fraud. That is financial bondage. I did not, **"not understand the terms of my employment"**

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-44 W2441272 skannattu_2024_08_12_14_08_21_120

If the tenancy was just between Vuorivirta and I,

- Why is the lease and the Court eviction filing between Alegria Psychology Oy and I?
- Why is it not Anna Vuorivirta vs E.F. Fluff?
- Why is the employment not mentioned on the Court filing?
- Why is there no mention of the secondary lease as it was agreed?

That made the tenancy an employment tenancy—it made the eviction unfavourable and invited scrutiny from Welfare, KELA and VERO.

Riivari and Vuorivirta repeatedly perjured themselves in the proceedings to deny the existence of the employment. But when MIGRI contacts them, MIGRI accepts their narrative that I simply "did not understand" my tenancy, completely ignoring the employment contract and the debt bondage, and my repeat and constant pleading to be allowed to pay and leave or to have the secondary lease transferred to be Per the Tenancy Act.

By doing so, MIGRI ignored the fact that my housing was weaponised as a tool of financial domestic violence. As evidenced by Liite 18 and Liite 19, Hanna Riivari and Anna Vuorivirta actively pursued an eviction based on artificial rental debt—debt they created by refusing to provide Kela with a temporary lease agreement. MIGRI took the abuser's court filings at face value without ever interviewing me to understand that the 'eviction' was a calculated mechanism of coercive control. If I had been interviewed there would have been full context. MIGRI instead decided in advance I'm some confused liar and asked my abuser and trafficker to explain. That is incoherent and it goes against all principles related to safety and reported human trafficking.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-45 W24_41272 Alegria Psychology oy EF Fluff

That is my grounding response to the Court in W24/41272. I clearly state I am not refusing to leave. I am not refusing to pay.

It was not a normal eviction. It was not a normal tenancy dispute.

I was not refusing to leave. I was not refusing to pay. Hanna Riivari's statements to the Court that I was doing it because of romantic obsession when Riivari and Vuorivirta knew I was in a settled committed relationship with [redacted] were and are perjury and a form of violence and control abuse.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-46 Riika Haverinen Ulsottolaitos Fraudulent Eviction 12.03.2026

Riivari's repeat statements about the rental debt and money involved, as evidenced by this conversation with Ulosottolaitos enforcement officer Riika Haverinen, are fraud and perjury. There's no rental debt. The rental debt was falsely reported then cancelled.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-47 HEKA Debt bondage evidence

However even that becomes a moveable feast of control abuse and debt bondage rendering me homeless. That is Vuorivirta deciding I should not be housed. That is control abuse.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-48 KELA COPY W24-41272 Alegria Rent Anna Vuorivirta KELA evidence and block evidence.

Vuorivirta had access to the KELA applications. Everything was about control. I was trapped. I have been trapped. MIGRI should have interviewed me about this.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-11 30.08.2025 W24 41272 EF Fluff 300825 Judge Mirko response two sheep copy.pdf

The abnormality and abusive nature of the eviction and contract breach is mapped clearly through the Court correspondence from 2024 into 2025 and all the way into 2026.

Liite 18 (Urgency request and legal cost claim Riivari) & Liite 19 (Hanna Riivari Clarification)

MIGRI has submitted the opposing counsel's eviction filings as evidence to dismiss my trafficking complaint. In the March 31 decision, MIGRI relied on these documents to conclude that "problems regarding housing have emerged when your and Vuorivirta's company's leases ended in July 2024" and that it is "not clear that Vuorivirta would have exploited this vulnerable position to subject you to human trafficking".

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-49 18.09.2025 clarification with Finnish at front Asia R 25/888- Pyyntö väliaikaisesta helpotuksesta EFF-FR

Nothing about the eviction was a “standard tenancy dispute”

By doing this, MIGRI took the unsworn, self-serving claims of the alleged trafficker’s lawyer as objective fact, without ever interviewing me to investigate the context. As I have clearly evidenced to the Helsinki District Court in W24/41272 (and to Hanna Riivari directly), this was never a standard tenancy dispute. Vuorivirta deliberately manufactured the rental debt. I repeatedly requested a temporary formal lease agreement so KELA could process my rent supplement during the dispute; Vuorivirta maliciously refused to provide it, thereby creating an artificial debt to leverage against me in court. For MIGRI to use the abuser’s fabricated eviction filings as "proof" that no abuse is happening is a grotesque failure of their inquisitorial duty.

If it were not for [redacted], I would not have been able to resolve Vuorivirta’s attempted fraud of KELA and the Court, where Vuorivirta went behind the Court’s back, lied to KELA about the contents of my responses to the Court, lied to say I was refusing to pay, lied to say I was refusing to leave. [redacted] was actively engaged in that, and the phone recordings of that matter exist.

That ended with KELA telling me that I was trapped in a “toxic situation” and to inform Alegria Psychology Oy that once KELA had a temporary contract, KELA would pay **ALL ARREARS immediately** to allow me to leave the situation free of debt. Alegria Psychology Oy and Hanna Riivari ignored all of these requests from August 2024 into 2026. That is incoherent. That is not a normal eviction. No landlord **REFUSES MONEY AND PAYMENT**. **Whilst claiming to all outside Authorities the person is then refusing to pay and refusing to leave.** That is horrific levels of control abuse.

Critically:
I am not in a stable housing situation, nor do I have the hardware or software, nor am I medicated enough to secure the phone recordings of [redacted] resolving Anna Vuorivirta’s fraud of KELA from my archive, I would need more time and stability from the Court via legal aid remuneration and safety from refoulement to torture. However, I struggle with the grief of the situation, and I breakdown when I hear [redacted]’s voice.

13-C. Alegria Psychology Oy and Anna Vuorivirta: Obstructed medication, obstructed electricity, obstructed legal representation,

Vuorivirta watched the Pasanens boast about their KELA contacts and their ability to use those contacts to abuse and harm me. She watched them follow through on that threat, cutting off my electricity, disrupting my welfare, preventing my recovery plan, and plunging me into chaos through their fraudulent hate-crime eviction. She saw exactly what that did to me, in real time, in documented detail. Rather than distancing herself from it, she took the same playbook and used it against me herself, only she escalated it further and dragged it out for longer.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-50 Abrahaminkatu 17C 53 Door

During W22/53025 hate-crime eviction, the Pasanens repeatedly illegally entered the property, and attempted forced entry, and had removed the security lock. Vuorivirta took time to explain to me that this was "an acute form of psychological and social torture, especially for someone with PTSD like me" as it perpetually denied me security and safety. Post-12.02.2026, Vuorivirta refused to repair the door.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-51 13.02.2024 Anna Vuorivirta -as _landlord_ - the police smashed the door in

This was incredibly distressing. I tried again and again to get it fixed. Like all maintenance on the property, as landlord Vuorivirta and Alegria Psychology Oy (with Marieke Sahe) refused all maintenance requests. This became a perpetual denial of security and safety. People used to pull the newspaper out and look into the apartment. It could be opened without a key.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-52 19.05.2024 Tenancy issues non-harassment Re_ FIX THE DOOR Info from landlord

Through the fraudulent loan agreement and financial domestic violence discussed after this section Vuorivirta had abruptly and fraudulently left me with medical debts that now obstructed my ability to attend my psychiatrist. Vuorivirta was aware of this, prior to discovering the Norwegian Bank Loan did not exist, Vuorivirta kept unilaterally changing the loan terms, and medical bills were removed and I was told "what's the point, you'll just get sick again."

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-56 04.08.2024 Awareness Medication Anna.

There is no plausible deniability on the obstruction of access to the medication I require to function.

Alegria Psychology Oy and Anna Vuorivirta used the eviction and the wider dispute as a means of controlling my circumstances and reducing my ability to function independently. Alegria Psychology Oy (Anna Vuorivirta and Marieke Saher)'s conduct obstructed my access to medication —without a lease or temporary lease—I could not access support from KELA and Welfare to secure my medication and I was without medication for a number of months. Vuorivirta repeatedly targeted my relationship with my psychiatrist and access to medication as it would make me more vulnerable and less able to function, and more distressed and prone to ADHD dysregulated outbursts, of the type the Court is quite acquainted with at this stage. In turn this unmedicated state makes far more difficult for me to access assistance, creating a medical-social-authority triangulation isolation trap of control.

Without a lease or temporary lease in order to pay the arrears and leave the property, without transfer of the temporary lease, I struggled to maintain electricity. Vuorivirta was aware of this from

accessing KELA applications and misfiling them. This resulted in my electricity repeatedly getting cut off and I lived in darkness for many weeks. The Alegria Psychology contract breach and eviction was designed to disrupt my basic living conditions as much as possible.

Alegria Psychology OY held the insurance for the apartment, and as a result of that obstruction control, I could not use the tenancy insurance to secure legal representation, a situation that left me completely unable to leave or secure a safe means to leave. This abuse formed part of a sustained pattern of coercive control that placed me in a position of dependency, instability, and vulnerability.

By withholding or frustrating the practical means by which I could maintain my health, my home, and my legal defence, they contributed to a situation in which my options were progressively narrowed. This was done in the context of an already abusive and unequal relationship, and it materially increased my inability to leave, resist, or protect myself. The effect was not merely distressing; it was disabling. It is relevant to the trafficking analysis because it shows the use of pressure, deprivation, and obstruction to maintain control over me and to make me easier to exploit. MIGRI would understand this if it had interviewed me.

This is the exact legal triad of human trafficking MIGRI claims is missing: the **act** of isolation and confinement, achieved through the **means** of abusing my extreme medical and legal vulnerability, for the **purpose** of exploitation and control. If it was not this, why has it dragged on for so long? Why not return my belongings and let me leave? Why not transfer the secondary lease to me? Why engineer rent debt by misfiling KELA applications? Why not grant the temporary contract like KELA asked and let me pay and leave to find a new home with [redacted]?

The exploitative nature of the relationship was not just Vuorivirta wanting essentially some sort of on-call food and sex butler. There was money involved, large sums related to my Dublin property and Irish compensation case. When the prospect of those became slightly more difficult than the absolute bare minimum, the abuse escalated.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-53 Dr Veli-Matti Kaupinmaki Request and Exhibit-K-C-54 Sample of Domestic Abuse Org contact

I was asking for help from everyone. See the letter to Dr. Veli-Matti Kaupinmaki requesting assistance.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-60 Kate Amber Coercive Control consultation

I sought help from experts as best my finances could allow. Kate Amber was so horrified by the scale and depth of the abuse she burst into tears on our video consultation.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-55 07.03.2024 Appellant attempting resolution Holding Pattern letter sample

I sent multiple "roadmaps" for peaceful resolution and withdrawal, they were all refused. Vuorivirta explicitly told me she did not read them and refused to read them.

14. Liitteet 21-24: The Weaponisation of KELA, Artificial Debt, and Financial Domestic Violence

MIGRI has utilised documents related to KELA to summarily dismiss the forced labour and debt bondage allegations. In their decision, MIGRI concluded that "it is possible that KELA has not approved the work as rehabilitative work activity," framing my unpaid labour, the resulting debt, and my ensuing destitution as a mere bureaucratic misunderstanding.

This demonstrates a grotesque ignorance of the fundamental mechanics of coercive control and financial domestic violence. The bureaucratic nightmare with KELA was not an administrative accident; it was the precise mechanism Anna Vuorivirta weaponised to enforce debt bondage and absolute dependency.

By taking the opposing counsel's claims of "unpaid rent" as an objective truth, MIGRI failed entirely to investigate the fraudulent architecture of that debt.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-57 03.04.2024 Anna April 3rd confession of abuse and financial fraud.pdf and Exhibit-K-C-58 3.04.2024 Financial Manipulation.pdf

The financial bondage was entirely premeditated and built upon deceit. During a period of extreme vulnerability, whilst I was struggling to preserve legal evidence in Dublin and lacking the hardware to function, Vuorivirta and I agreed she would take a joint loan from Norwegian Bank, which I would repay via my disability welfare. She unilaterally altered these terms, bringing me to the absolute brink of losing my storage unit and vital legal evidence to force compliance.

As the Signal messenger logs of 3 April 2024 indisputably evidence, Vuorivirta finally confessed that the "Norwegian Bank Loan" did not exist in the manner she had presented it. She admitted to using personal credit cards and her own funds, deliberately keeping me in the dark about the true nature of the debt to ensure my total dependency and compliance. I informed her clearly: "I had no idea there was - yenno, no loan. I just thought I was paying my chunk of a bank loan". This is financial fraud. It is the deliberate maintenance of dependency for exploitative purposes.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-59 Loan fraud and threats

Vuorivirta used the fake loan to terrorise me with me stress and worry.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-61 W2441272 Court re kela and anna.pdf and Exhibit-K-C-62 KELA COPY W24-41272 Alegria Rent.pdf

When I attempted to extract myself from this control, Vuorivirta and her company, Alegria Psychology Oy, manufactured an artificial rental eviction. MIGRI accepted Hanna Riivari's claims that I simply "refused to pay rent."

The objective reality, which MIGRI would have discovered had they fulfilled their statutory duty to interview me, is that throughout 2023 and 2024, I had granted Alegria Psychology Oy complete and unrestricted access to my KELA portal. Vuorivirta had complete control over my rent supplement applications. The "disrupted rent" was engineered by Vuorivirta herself, logging into my accounts, misfiling applications, and refusing to provide the temporary formal lease agreement KELA required during the dispute. She then went behind the Court's back directly to KELA to lie about the state of the employment contract and the eviction proceedings, defaming me to state authorities to ensure I remained starved, destitute, and trapped.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-63 K14 Loan Collapse1

Every aspect of finances between us was weaponised, and used to control. The above screenshot is when Vuorivirta deliberately waited until final-final-final notices from my evidence storage unit to collapse the fraudulent non-existing loan agreement. At the time across from October to December 2023, whilst lying about the Norwegian Bank Loan, Vuorivirta claimed to have used my part to pay the storage unit, apparently for twelve months. This was a lie. I did not find out until I saw the notices. She deliberately tried to lose me all of my evidence in storage. When she coerced her way into my life after December 2023, Vuorivirta was obsessed with why the situation with the storage unit had not resulted in my committing suicide.

At the time I was in talks with other Finns to organise loans to resolve my Irish property which is damaged from illegal police raids. This would have resulted in financial independence. Vuorivirta escalated her abuse via psychological and emotional abuse during this time, also using triangulation and defamation, ultimately scaring off the two friends who were looking at assisting with renovation loans. Leaving me completely trapped.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-64 31.03.2024 Re_ Clarity on the loan agreement.pdf and Exhibit-K-C-65 31.03.2024 Re_ - Clarity on the loan agreement.pdf

I tried to gain clarity and resolve and get stability it was ignored or refused.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-66 18.01.2024 Pasila Detective admits AV lied to him and pleads with Appellant to file police complaint 358 29 5476107 (358295476107) [2024-01-18 13-32-55] [Incoming]

This deception and refusal was deliberate. As is evidenced by the phone call, Vuorivirta was informing the authorities falsely that there had been no loan agreements, no contracts, that she was "just helping me" and that I was extorting money out of her. Which obviously also escalated the violence the police treated me with.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-67 13.08.2023Promissory note

There are contracts. There are loan agreements and were. I tried to do everything above board to protect myself and I failed, and was trapped. October 2023, sitting at the dining table Vuorivirta told me she had the power of life and death over me, got to decide when I died, and got to decide when to drown me. I begged her not to. She made it clear that she meant this being forced via financial means. I made the mistake of telling her about the nascent renovation loan agreements. After that the abuse escalated.

MIGRI treats the unpaid work and the fabricated loan as though they belong to two separate stories: a possible administrative muddle with KELA on one side, and an unrelated financial dispute on the other. There was no administrative muddle with KELA. Vuorivirta crafted rental debt and KELA sought to resolve it. First by providing me with the funds to pay the missing June and July rent. Then by offering a solution of a temporary rent contract which Riivari and Vuorivirta did not just refuse they simply ignored. The silent treatment is a noted form of narcissistic abuse.

There was no genuine KELA employment process here that simply went wrong. MIGRI cannot shift the blame onto KELA for Vuorivirta. Vuorivirta never put a lawful arrangement in place. Vuorivirta should never have stated it was possible, from what I have since been told such an agreement was not even legal. What was presented to me as KELA-backed rehabilitative work that

Vuorivirta and Alegria Psychology Oy had to APPLY FOR and SUBMIT was, so far as the governing framework shows, not something she could simply invent and offer through her own private company by verbal assurance, portal control, and after-the-fact excuses. MIGRI's suggestion that KELA may merely have failed to approve the work wrongly sanitises the position. Why is MIGRI trying to cover-up and create alibi for Vuorivirta, that is utterly incoherent levels of prejudice and hostility. The real issue is that the supposed arrangement appears never to have been legally valid and if it was, Vuorivirta never properly initiated it at all, and was instead used as a false pretext to obtain unpaid labour, exert further and deepening financial control, and deepen my dependency until there was no escape and I was trapped. How can I get help with an employment arrangement that does not exist in reality.

The fact Vuorivirta's statements to MIGRI contradicts her statements in the fraudulent restraining order proceedings, the contract breach fraudulent and abusive eviction proceedings, her statements to Pasila Police, and all other points of authority goes unreported is also incoherent.

When we were together Vuorivirta controlled my KELA portal, controlled the rent supplement, and controlled a loan that turned out not to exist, and that control is precisely what removed my ability to walk away from the unpaid work and the situation as a whole. I was trapped additionally by my evidence storage unit, and a debt I believed was real hostage to my continued compliance. Vuorivirta has a vicious temper, and in the later half of 2023 that could manifest in threats of violence and punitive starvation. The work and the debt were the same mechanism operating on two fronts at once, and treating them as unconnected is precisely how MIGRI managed to reframe premeditated financial coercion as a bureaucratic hiccup.

MIGRI's own three-part framework is satisfied on these facts. The means were deception, abuse of a position of vulnerability, and misuse of power. I was disabled, financially precarious, dependent on housing support, and struggling to preserve essential legal evidence and documents. Vuorivirta exploited that position by fabricating the Norwegian Bank loan, controlling my KELA access and rent supplement process, and keeping the tenancy and related paperwork in a state only she could regularise. The method was taking and maintaining control. The unpaid website work was presented to me as KELA-linked rehabilitative work, the tenancy was kept unstable and unresolved, and the resulting artificial debt, benefit disruption, and housing insecurity were used to keep me trapped and unable to exit safely. The purpose was exploitation. The fabricated debt, the KELA obstruction, and the manipulated tenancy were used to extract unpaid labour for Alegria Psychology Oy and to maintain my dependency in conditions where refusal, withdrawal, or escape carried immediate risks of homelessness, loss of subsistence, and loss of vital evidence.

A laptop was repeatedly dangled in front of me for website work and my primary careers in creative work, via loans. Then it was always cancelled. It would also have allowed me to return to performing music and other audiovisual work which would have allowed me an increasing financial freedom and independence. There's no record in any correspondence of Vuorivirta responding to me talking about trying to recover the careers damaged and destroyed by the Irish police brutality case. No encouragement. Nothing. Dead air. That is not normal. That is not healthy. That's indicative of control.

On the tenancy point, I remained in the flat past the July 2024 lease expiry because we had an oral contract that there is audio of, I have just struggled with finding without medication as I put it in a safe place. I had earlier sent it to the Court but that was before the police vandalised my laptop. Vuorivirta had promised repeatedly that no matter what happened between us, she would not weaponise my home as she knew I had nowhere to go.

That is the harsh truth of the matter, I believed the matter could be mediated. Requests were ignored. I had to get resolution to the rental debt or else I had nowhere else to go, no returned deposit, no returned documents, and no functioning KELA rent supplement to secure alternative housing, all of which Vuorivirta continued to control or withhold well beyond that date. Remaining was not consent. It was the absence of any exit that did not require her cooperation, and she withheld that cooperation as a matter of course.

However then as a point of retaliatory malice, Hanna Riivari applied to force me to pay her fees in the eviction case. I think this is a very unprofessional and unethical piece of control violence. I spent the entire W24/41272 eviction begging to be let go, to be allowed pay the rent, or to have the secondary lease transferred to me. I was forced into unnecessarily deliberately prolonged contract breach and fraudulent eviction that destroyed what was left of my life and lost me the love of my life. Why am I being forced to pay Riivari's fees for proceedings I spent asking for resolution in? That's a form of financial domestic violence and debt bondage.

Riivari's legal misconduct and fraud of the Court has been systematic. It has been a projection of Vuorivirta's abuse and control. After review, I do not believe Riivari has filed a true statement at any point. I have evidenced this perjury and fraud repeatedly. It is ignored.. It must be amazing to be able to operate outside the Law that enacts your profession and outside the Law completely. It is embarrassing to be a victim of it though, because when I describe Riivari to other EU citizens they can't believe someone like that gets away with such gross, gauche and crude frauds.

15. Sexual Exploitation

At the core:
If at the start of the idea of the relationship I state very clearly "Do you have specialised training in trauma. If you do we cannot have a relationship. We cannot even have casual sex?" And Vuorivirta states "no I only specialised in OCD". Then consent has been removed. I am in a situation where I stated clear boundaries for safety and explained the reason and need for that safety to someone trained as a mental health professional. Everything after that happens in a space without consent.

I want to be precise about why this matters, because the point is not that a lack of specialist trauma training voids consent on its own. The point is narrower and more serious than that. I told Vuorivirta, at the outset, that I could not have a relationship, and could not even have casual sex, with someone who lacked specialised trauma training, and I explained why: I needed that boundary for my own safety as a torture survivor with severe PTSD. She heard that boundary, understood the reason behind it as a mental health professional, and disregarded it anyway. What removes consent here is not her qualifications. It is that she knowingly exploited a vulnerability I had explicitly named to her, in the full understanding of what that vulnerability meant, and proceeded regardless. That is not an oversight. It is the deliberate disregard of a stated safety limit by someone trained to recognise exactly why that limit existed.

I cannot properly answer on **Sexual Exploitation** for fear of reprisal and more police violence. When Vuorivirta and Riivari filed the first retaliatory false statement for restraining order, I noted a period Vuorivirta was falsely claiming to have gone no contact. The truth was Vuorivirta had coerced her way back into my life and it was incredibly confusing and hyper-sexualised. During the period Riivari and Vuorivirta stated Vuorivirta had gone no contact, Vuorivirta had begun sending me pictures of her genitals and breasts. When I tried to evidence this to the Court. Vuorivirta and Riivari claimed I was involved in revenge porn, and this resulted in a police raid.

Very commonly, if I was talking about struggling with severe Post-Traumatic-Stress-Disorder issues, sometimes very dark and horrific, Vuorivirta would interject with inappropriate graphically sexualised talk, I had to repeatedly ask her to stop. Particularly because I did not want to link trauma and flashbacks with sex talk. I did not feel like I had a voice in those matters.

Referring back to the roadmaps to peaceful disengagement or peace that I sent. This was subsequent to the 12.02.2024 police raid. Vuorivirta was refusing to retract her false statements to any outside party, that included false statements related to our relationship not existing. I stated that if she continued to refuse I would have to release evidence of the relationship to keep myself safe from any more fraudulent false reporting to police and police violence. In email Vuorivirta told me to "go ahead release it". So I did what I was told. Vuorivirta then falsely reported that as revenge porn.

Unless I am mistaken, I believe I told MIGRI this in email directly on 20 March 2026, before the decision of 31 March 2026 issued, when I described the graphic messages, the "go ahead, release it" exchange, and the subsequent revenge porn allegation in my own words at the time. My account of this has never changed.

MIGRI's own framework requires means, method, and purpose to be present and connected. Each is met here. The means was the exploitation of a position of vulnerability: a torture survivor's explicitly stated, clinically-informed safety boundary, disregarded by a trained mental health professional who understood exactly why that boundary existed. The method was the pattern itself: demanded sexual contact that continued after the boundary was stated, and continued through the very period Vuorivirta was simultaneously telling police and the court that we were in no contact. The purpose was sexual exploitation, evidenced by the graphic images, the "go ahead, release it" exchange, and Vuorivirta's retaliatory reversal of my own evidence into a revenge porn allegation, which itself functioned to silence me and to turn police violence back onto me rather than onto her.

I have not set this out in these terms anywhere else in this document, because I could not safely do so until now. My reluctance to answer this on paper, for fear of violent reprisal, is not a gap in the record. It is itself evidence of the control and fear this exploitation created, and it is precisely the reason a trauma-informed oral interview, conducted in a safe setting, is not a procedural nicety here but a necessity MIGRI was obliged to provide and did not.

I believe strongly I have to be interviewed about this topic, in a safe environment. Whenever I have tried to address it Vuorivirta and Riivari have misrepresented me and had me subjected to severe police brutality and violence and arbitrary detention.

I have to apologise to MIGRI and the Court, even homeless in France, I am too scared of violent reprisals to answer this.

One of the primary reasons I was so determined to get the Court to release legal aid remuneration to assist me regaining access to my medication is this causes flashbacks and the emotional peaks trigger my narcolepsy and writing these without medication is hellish.

An immense amount of difficulty to this situation is I have refused to be present in the same building as Anna Vuorivirta. Not being in the same room is not enough. I do not feel safe being in the same building as her. This has not been believed. But this was the case when I began trying to break up with Vuorivirta in December 2023. Vuorivirta kept demanding to meet, and I refused to meet her without it being in a public place and with neutral safe third parties present, which Vuorivirta refused. Subsequent to this, is when Vuorivirta began terrorising me with messages stating she was telling Pasila Police I am psychotic. I am not psychotic. I have NIL HISTORY OF PSYCHOSIS. I was simply trying to get to safety.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-68 Sophie Mannerheim Shelter (358400600107) [2024-02-17 17-47-22] [Outgoing]

This is not a "relationship dispute". I was trying to get help. I still am trying to get assistance.

I've lost the normal functioning of my right hand. I have not been able to access normal medical treatment since 12.02.2024. Vuorivirta and Enqvist permanently damaged and likely destroyed my longstanding relationship with my treating psychiatrist due to the falsified record of Vuorivirta stating to Enqvist Veli-Matti Kaupinmaki replied, which would be an actionable and severe breach of medical privacy.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-35 Anna Vuorivirta meet whenever possible

MIGRI's decision is from March 2026. The above screenshot is from 2024. Vuorivirta and Riivari are still lying to outside parties on this malicious fabricated reality that I am romantically obsessed. Trying to get free from Anna Vuorivirta has isolated me and cost me almost all my friends. It has cost me a life partner. From 2023 onward, and prior to Vuorivirta's cycle of fraud, control, violence and attempted murder in 2024, I was desperately seeking assistance from Vuorivirta's abuse.

I have an abuse background in childhood. I am aware I have to be careful of **Revictimisation**. That does not mean I should be denied assistance. When domestic abuse organisations began telling me I was first being sex trafficked and then human trafficked, I was in shocked horrified denial. There is a perception that human trafficking is women and girls being shipped somewhere for forced work, sex slavery, forced marriage. A terrible shallow misrepresentation forced on us by Hollywood. It took more than one abuse specialist explaining the main points of sex trafficking and human trafficking to me and getting me to repeat my situation and the points of abuse before I could even begin to accept the horror of the severity of the abuse I was and am being subjected to.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-69 Alexander Keegan letter to Poliisi.pdf and Exhibit-K-C-70 Porochista Khakpour Urgent Appeal.pdf

Other outside parties have also been concerned and tried to get me assistance and support and offered to speak to authorities.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-72 Taz Wake public comment

Others, such as Tas Wake have spoken out publicly. Tas Wake attempted to mediate and negotiate the return of my belongings with Vuorivirta. He gave up as he stated there was too much lying.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-71 EVIDENCE Tables combined 2

What is presented here is an incomplete record, I have not been able to synthesise everything or even present the full transcripts and phone recordings.

16. Liite 25 (Euthanasia Screenshot) and Liitteet 34-37 (The "Refusal" to Cooperate)

It is a mistake to think just because someone is articulate that they are not disabled. It is a grave mistake to think just because someone is able to logically look at their situation and realise that recovery is unlikely, that they have been so dehumanised and so badly abused that the only freedom and safety that might be on offer to them is death.

Besides anything, MIGRI has omitted evidence from the submission to the Administrative Court. It has also whitewashed over the fact this is me begging for an interview. Why is MIGRI so adamant in denying me an interview? Is it because MIGRI is aware that if it interviews me the facts become undeniable?

MIGRI has submitted my desperate inquiries into euthanasia in Belgium as evidence against me, while simultaneously using logs from March 30, 2026, to argue that I "refused to cooperate" and voluntarily relinquished my human rights.

Let us look at objective reality. Between March 26 and March 30, I was a medically compromised with shingles and concussion torture survivor, actively fleeing refoulement, stripped of my passport, unmedicated, and homeless, struggling to maintain a bed for the night. A situation that has not much changed. MIGRI's response to a victim in active flight was to issue a same-day ultimatum via email with 12 written questions.

MIGRI's own initial refusal to interview me vastly escalated the distress and crisis I was in. It was a repeat of November 2025. However, then MIGRI began telling me that the November 2025 contact never happened. Which in and of itself caused severe distress. Catherine Kenny of the Irish Council of Prisoners Overseas should be interviewed related to that period. It was Catherine Kenny who reached out to MIGRI.

Demanding an immediate, perfectly articulated written response from a severely traumatised, dyslexic, severe ADHD suffering unmedicated victim is not an "opportunity to be heard". Under the EU Victims' Rights Directive, assessments must be trauma-informed and adapted to the victim's needs. Issuing an impossible administrative deadline while I am fleeing for my life is administrative abuse masquerading as due process. MIGRI were very aware I was in extreme distress and destitute suffering from shingles and concussion, and **everything else**.

Furthermore, the fact that I am driven to seek euthanasia is not proof that I am an unreliable witness or that no abuse occurred; it is objective proof of the lethal severity of the sanctuary trauma, coercive control, and institutional abandonment I have been subjected to by Vuorivirta and the Finnish State.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-74 [redacted] Drockila 15 February 2024 Gmail - Restraining Order Request

In the above exchange, [redacted] Drockila literally lied to me about the Social Welfare Act. I downloaded it in Finnish and in English, highlighted the section and sent it to her. She continued to lie about it.

I sought help and protection from every available point that the Law told me was there. And now I have MIGRI essentially victim-blaming me, and acting vigorously as if they're defending legal counsel for Anna Vuorivirta. Pulling implausible explanations with no evidentiary or legal basis out of the air for Anna Vuorivirta. It is beyond incoherent.

The Law is very clear about interviewing a reported human trafficking victim.

However it gets problematic when doing so would expose systematic discriminatory abuse and misconduct by State Authorities based on the flimsiest string of lies.

Throughout its decision and response to the Court, MIGRI accepts actions by Vuorivirta that are actual imprisonable crimes under the Finnish Penal Code, and then in the following paragraph dismisses them, or acts like they're okay, and permissible.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-75 Anna codeine

Was providing me with a bottle of her mothers codeine and sitting on the phone telling me it was okay to kill myself rather than assist me in getting an actual proper competent lawyer normal or legal. I am fairly sure a number of laws were broken there.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-73 What is left - Ulosottilaitos fraud by Riika Haverinen, Anna Vuorivirta, and Hanna Riivari

I have lost everything. Access to justice against the Irish Police after a landmark victory, outside of exceptional and drawn out process, gone. The files and evidence for my historic child abuse case, Vuorivirta controls them. The documents I required to resolve issue about my adoption. Vuorivirta controls them. [redacted] lost—and rightly so—as she said "watching these people slowly destroy you is damaging me". Every remaining piece of my life I salvaged from a war waged on me by corrupt Irish Police Officers—gone. I've spent three-years trying to get free from Anna Vuorivirta. MIGRI literally describes me as a damaged and vulnerable by this, but dismisses that. That's ridiculous. It's literally and actually **Evil**.

This is so clearly fraud and abuse and corruption as that Parisians, Ethiopians, Ugandans, Dutch, Spanish, Mexicans, Portuguese, Irish diaspora, Germans, Finns, South Africans, Moroccans have gathered around to try and keep me alive to access justice.

That's not a normal situation.

I refer to Exhibit-K-C-76 VL/ Laskutuslupa käännökseen/ Ulosottovalitus / Riikka Rask

There is the method of abuse. Described by Vuorivirta. There is the trigger she has exploited with Authorities and the Courts. All she and Riivari have had to do is to trick an Authority into accusing me of lying about my Irish Judgment, and [redacted] and I dysregulate, and the authorities write me off. It probably would have been wise for someone to interview [redacted]—she would have imparted tactically valuable information on my character.

I have to hold two super positions now. One in each hand. One is access to euthanasia, as that is the only viable escape from what is an existential point of modern slavery by Vuorivirta and Riivari. The other is remaining coherent and available for the human rights lawyers Parisians are asking me to meet and talk with. And never. Ever. Letting this go until I secure Justice.

Two sheep and double it.

On Refoulement and State-Sponsored Harm

I am currently in exile on mainland Europe. I am temporarily in France talking to Human Rights Organisations. It has been suggested I seek humanitarian safety from Finland in Spain or the Netherlands. Why would I want that? Finland is home. I fled Finland not out of choice, but out of the sheer terror of more Irish police torture and sexual assault at gunpoint, a very pure necessity of survival. I spent time living in Charles De Gaulle airport. I have been homeless on the street. All normal avenues of assistance and support are closed to me due to my EU status and cross-border police brutality and corruption situation.

The Finnish State attempted to execute a refoulement of my person to Ireland—a country where I have been subjected to state-sponsored torture, sexual violence, and police brutality that is formally attested to by the Dublin Circuit Criminal Court, medical records and United Nations OHCHR complaints by myself and others.

MIGRI possessed the B-certificates, the Irish court judgments, and the OHCHR complaints detailing the torture I have endured. Yet, instead of acting as a barrier to that torture—as is their mandatory positive obligation under Article 3 of the ECHR—they acted as its facilitator. They treated my vulnerability as a convenient pretext to strip me of credibility and cast me out. I have been completely othered and dehumanised by the Finnish State. I am not a case number to be cleared from a desk before the holiday. I am a person who over the course of a multi-year fight for justice against a corrupt coterie of Irish police, has lost everything, their careers, their kitten, the love of their life and life partner. And who then had the viciously poor look to fall into a escalating coercive control relationship. I am a vulnerable human being who survived being tortured, and the Finnish State has spent the last year ensuring that the abuse never ends.

Conclusion and Formal Requests to the Court

The Finnish State has systematically stripped me of my rights, my health, and my safety. MIGRI based its decision on the perjury of an abuser and explicitly refused to interview the victim, in direct violation of the ECHR, the EU Victims' Rights Directive, and the Finnish Administrative Procedure Act. The Assistance System failed its mandate.

Therefore, I formally request that the Helsinki Administrative Court:

1. **Quash** the Finnish Immigration Service decision of 31.03.2026 (94/830/2026) in its entirety.
2. **Directly order** my immediate admission into the Assistance System for Victims of Human Trafficking. The severe procedural failures, the destruction of evidence, and the immediate threat to my life make remitting the matter to MIGRI an unsafe and insufficient remedy.

3. In the alternative, remit the matter back to MIGRI with strict, Judicially supervised instructions to conduct a trauma-informed, in-person oral hearing, with full legal representation and medical accommodations provided.
4. **Refer Hanna Riivari for professional and criminal investigation** regarding her conduct in the proceedings of W24/41272. There is clear evidence of perjury, the submission of false instruments, and malicious abuse of process aimed at obstructing justice. I request that this Court explicitly mandate that the findings of such an investigation be submitted directly to the Helsinki District Court, the Court of Appeal, and the National Police Board (Poliisihallitus).
5. **Refer Anna Vuorivirta for professional and criminal investigation**.
6. **Assure me safety from refoulement deportation**.
7. **Order** the reimbursement of my legal costs in full.

This is an incomplete broken document written under duress in self-representation against my will as I have to argue that I am a human being and that International Law and protections apply to me